

Some New Results for the \mathcal{M} -Transform Involving the Incomplete H - and \overline{H} -Functions

Sanjay Bhattar¹, Sapna Meena¹, Jagdev Singh² and
Sunil Dutt Purohit^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, India.
sbhattar.maths@mmit.ac.in, sapnabesar1996@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, JECRC University, Jaipur, India.
jagdevsinghrathore@gmail.com

³Department of HEAS (Mathematics), Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, India.
sunil_a_purohit@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we construct some new image formulas for the incomplete H - and \overline{H} -functions under the Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform. We also provide image formulas for the incomplete Meijer's G -functions, incomplete Fox-Wright functions and Fox's H -function, as special cases of our main findings in corollaries.

Key Words and Phrases. Incomplete gamma function; \mathcal{M} -transform; Incomplete H -functions; Incomplete \overline{H} -functions; Mellin-Barnes type contour; Incomplete Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions.

MSC2010. 26A33, 33B20, 33C60, 33E20, 44A40 .

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Integral transforms have been useful in solving numerous differential and integral problems for many years. It is possible to convert differential and integral operators from one domain under consideration into multiplication operators in another domain by using the right integral transform.

The Laplace transform, the Fourier integral transform, the Mellin transform are the classical integral transforms used to solve differential equations, integral equations, and in analysis and the theory of functions. For further information, see the research papers [5, 10, 12, 13].

*Corresponding author

Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform

Akel in [1] recently, introduced the following \mathcal{M} -transform in this sequence:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m}[f(x)](u, v, w) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} f(wx) dx, \tag{1}$$

with $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are called the transform variables.

The \mathcal{M} -transform given by (1), depends on a number of parameters, so that it covers many known integral transforms as its special cases. This transform has the duality relations with well-known transforms such as the Laplace transform, the natural transform and the Srivastava-Luo-Raina \mathbb{M} -transform.

This transform is a precious tool for solving certain initial and boundary value problems with certain variable coefficients. Additional information on this transform, may be found in [1].

The incomplete H -and \bar{H} -functions

The incomplete H -functions $\gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}$ and $\Gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}$ have studied and defined by Srivastava et al. [13] in the form of Mellin-Barnes contour integral as follow:

$$\gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) = \gamma_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathbf{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathbf{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathbf{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}} g(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) = \Gamma_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathbf{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathbf{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathbf{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}} G(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta, \tag{3}$$

where,

$$g(\vartheta, y) = \frac{\gamma(1 - \mathbf{g}_1 - \nu_1\vartheta, y) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\mathbf{h}_j + \omega_j\vartheta) \prod_{j=2}^n \Gamma(1 - \mathbf{g}_j - \nu_j\vartheta)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - \mathbf{h}_j - \omega_j\vartheta) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(\mathbf{g}_j + \nu_j\vartheta)}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$G(\vartheta, y) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \mathbf{g}_1 - \nu_1\vartheta, y) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\mathbf{h}_j + \omega_j\vartheta) \prod_{j=2}^n \Gamma(1 - \mathbf{g}_j - \nu_j\vartheta)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - \mathbf{h}_j - \omega_j\vartheta) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(\mathbf{g}_j + \nu_j\vartheta)}. \tag{5}$$

This family of incomplete H -functions characterized as (2) and (3) exist for $x \geq 0$, according to the conditions specified by Srivastava [13].

Srivastava in [13] developed a generalisation for the family of incomplete H -functions, referred as the incomplete \overline{H} -functions, which is described by:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= \overline{\gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}_1; y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{g}(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= \overline{\Gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}_1; y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \overline{G}(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\overline{g}(\vartheta, y) = \frac{[\gamma(1 - \mathfrak{g}_1 - \nu_1\vartheta, y)]^{\mathfrak{G}_1} \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_j + \omega_j\vartheta) \prod_{j=2}^n [\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{g}_j - \nu_j\vartheta)]^{\mathfrak{G}_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q [\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{h}_j - \omega_j\vartheta)]^{\mathfrak{H}_j} \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}_j + \nu_j\vartheta)}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\overline{G}(\vartheta, y) = \frac{[\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{g}_1 - \nu_1\vartheta, y)]^{\mathfrak{G}_1} \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_j + \omega_j\vartheta) \prod_{j=2}^n [\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{g}_j - \nu_j\vartheta)]^{\mathfrak{G}_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q [\Gamma(1 - \mathfrak{h}_j - \omega_j\vartheta)]^{\mathfrak{H}_j} \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}_j + \nu_j\vartheta)}. \tag{9}$$

Numerous authors are actively working on the development and wide variety of implications for these incomplete functions, such as in [3, 15], authors established modified saigo fractional integral operators involving the product of a general class of multivariable polynomials and the multivariable H -function and an integral operator involving the family of incomplete H -function in its kernel, respectively. The authors of [11] investigated applications of the incomplete H -function on the influence of environmental pollution on the occurrence of biological populations, whereas the authors of [6, 7] developed an equation of internal blood pressure involving incomplete \overline{H} -functions and specific expansion formulae for the incomplete H -functions.

The main purpose of this paper is to give new image formulas for incomplete H - and \overline{H} -functions under Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform. And by giving suitable values to the involved parameters, we also present some special cases of our main findings.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we establish the Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform image formulae for the incomplete H - and \overline{H} -functions. In Section 3, we derive some interesting and important special cases of our main findings. Finally, a brief conclusion in Section 4.

2 The \mathcal{M} -Transform of Incomplete H - and \overline{H} -Functions

In this segment, we establish new image formulas for the incomplete H - and \overline{H} -functions under the Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform.

Theorem 1. *If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formula exists for $\gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$:*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \gamma_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \gamma_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] d\xi. \tag{10}$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ represents the classical Euler-Beta function.

Proof. To get the result (1), first we take the L.H.S of (10) and use the definition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \gamma_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} \gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}(zwx) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} g(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} (wx)^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta dx \end{aligned}$$

On interchanging the orders of the integration

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} g(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} (wx)^{-\vartheta} dx d\vartheta$$

Now, on utilizing [1, pg. 6, Eqn. (2.11)], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} g(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} \frac{w^{-\vartheta - m\rho} u^{\vartheta - 1}}{m \Gamma(\rho)} H_{1,2}^{2,1} \left[uw \left| \begin{matrix} (1, \frac{1}{m}) \\ (1 - \vartheta, 1)_{uv}, (\rho, \frac{1}{m}) \end{matrix} \right. \right] d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{um \Gamma(\rho)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} g(\vartheta, y) \left(z \frac{w}{u} \right)^{-\vartheta} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\iota_\infty}^{+\iota_\infty} (uw)^\xi \Gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{m}\right) \Gamma_{uv}(1 - \xi - \vartheta) \Gamma\left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}\right) d\xi d\vartheta \end{aligned}$$

On changing the order of the integrations and after some adjustment of terms

$$= \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{m}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(\rho)} (uw)^\xi \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} g(\vartheta, y) \Gamma_{uv}(1 - \xi - \vartheta) \left(z \frac{w}{u} \right)^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta d\xi, \tag{11}$$

using (2), we obtain the required R.H.S of (10). □

Theorem 2. If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formula exists for $\Gamma_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \Gamma_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \Gamma_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] d\xi. \tag{12}$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ represents the classical Euler-Beta function.

Proof. To get the result (12), we take the Akel’s \mathcal{M} -transform presented in (1) of (3), then on interchanging the order of the integrations and making use of the known result given in [1, p. 6, Eq. (2.11)], and after some small arrangements of the terms, we easily get the right hand side assertion of (12). \square

Theorem 3. If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formula exists for $\bar{\gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \bar{\gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \bar{\gamma}_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}, y), (\xi, 1; 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] d\xi. \tag{13}$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ represents the classical Euler-Beta function.

Proof. To get the result (3), first we take the L.H.S of (13) and use the definition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \bar{\gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} \bar{\gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n}(zwx) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \bar{g}(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} (wx)^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta dx \end{aligned}$$

On interchanging the orders of the integration

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \bar{g}(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux - \frac{v}{x}}}{(x^m + w^m)^\rho} (wx)^{-\vartheta} dx d\vartheta$$

Now, on utilizing [1, pg. 6, Eqn. (2.11)], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \bar{g}(\vartheta, y) z^{-\vartheta} \frac{w^{-\vartheta-m\rho} u^{\vartheta-1}}{m \Gamma(\rho)} H_{1,2}^{2,1} \left[uw \left| \begin{matrix} (1, \frac{1}{m}) \\ (1-\vartheta, 1)_{uv}, (\rho, \frac{1}{m}) \end{matrix} \right. \right] d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{um \Gamma(\rho)} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \bar{g}(\vartheta, y) \left(z \frac{w}{u} \right)^{-\vartheta} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{-\iota\infty}^{+\iota\infty} (uw)^\xi \Gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{m}\right) \Gamma_{uv}(1-\xi-\vartheta) \Gamma\left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}\right) d\xi d\vartheta \end{aligned}$$

On changing the order of the integrations and after some adjustment of terms

$$= \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{um} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{m}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(\rho)} (uw)^\xi \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \bar{g}(\vartheta, y) \Gamma_{uv}(1-\xi-\vartheta) \left(z \frac{w}{u} \right)^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta d\xi, \tag{14}$$

using (6), we obtain the required R.H.S of (13). □

Theorem 4. *If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formula exists for $\bar{\Gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \bar{\Gamma}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) \\ &= \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{um} \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \\ &\quad \bar{\Gamma}_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \left| \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1; \mathfrak{G}, y), (\xi, 1; 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j; \mathfrak{G}_j)_{2,n}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,m}, (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j; \mathfrak{H}_j)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ represents the classical Euler-Beta function.

Proof. To get the result (15), we take the Akel’s \mathcal{M} -transform presented in (1) of (7), then on interchanging the order of the integrations and making use of the known result given in [1, p. 6, Eq. (2.11)], and after some small arrangements of the terms, we easily get the right hand side assertion of (15). □

3 Special Cases

In this section, we derive some interesting and important special cases of our main findings by giving some particular values to the parameters involved in the definitions of \mathcal{M} -transform (1) and incomplete H -functions (2) and (3).

(1) Taking $n = p, m = 1$, substitute q with $q + 1$ and choosing appropriate parameters such as $z = -z, \mathfrak{g}_j \rightarrow (1 - \mathfrak{g}_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, p$), and $\mathfrak{h}_j \rightarrow (1 - \mathfrak{h}_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, q$), the incomplete H -functions (2) and (3) convert, respectively, to the incomplete Fox-Wright ${}_p\Psi_q^{(\gamma)}$ - and ${}_p\Psi_q^{(\Gamma)}$ -functions (see [13, Eqs. (6.3)

and (6.4)]:

$$\gamma_{p, q+1}^{1, p} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{c} (1 - \mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (1 - \mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (0, 1), (1 - \mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] = {}_p\Psi_q^{(\gamma)} \left[\begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} ; z \right] \quad (16)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{p, q+1}^{1, p} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{c} (1 - \mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (1 - \mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (0, 1), (1 - \mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] = {}_p\Psi_q^{(\Gamma)} \left[\begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} ; z \right]. \quad (17)$$

Using above relations (16) and (17), in (10) and (12), respectively, we will get the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. *If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formulae exist for ${}_p\Psi_q^{(\gamma)}[z]$ and ${}_p\Psi_q^{(\Gamma)}[z]$:*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho, m} \left\{ {}_p\Psi_q^{(\gamma)} \left[zx \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi {}_{p+1}\Psi_q^{(\gamma)} \left[\begin{array}{c} z \frac{w}{u} \\ z \frac{w}{u} \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] d\xi \quad (18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho, m} \left\{ {}_p\Psi_q^{(\Gamma)} \left[zx \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi {}_{p+1}\Psi_q^{(\Gamma)} \left[\begin{array}{c} z \frac{w}{u} \\ z \frac{w}{u} \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] d\xi. \quad (19)$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ indicates the classical Euler-Beta function.

(2) Letting $(\nu_i)_{1, p} = 1 = (\omega_j)_{1, q}$, the functions (2) and (3) convert into Meijer's incomplete ${}^{(\gamma)}G_{p, q}^{m, n}$ - and ${}^{(\Gamma)}G_{p, q}^{m, n}$ - functions:

$$\gamma_{p, q}^{m, n}(z) \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, 1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, 1)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, 1)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] = {}^{(\gamma)}G_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (20)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{p, q}^{m, n}(z) \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, 1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, 1)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, 1)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] = {}^{(\Gamma)}G_{p, q}^{m, n} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j)_{2, p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad (21)$$

Using above relations (20) and (21) in (10) and (12), respectively, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2. If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formulae exist for ${}^{(\gamma)}G_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$ and ${}^{(\Gamma)}G_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$, respectively:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ {}^{(\gamma)}G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, 1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, 1)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, 1)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \\ {}^{(\gamma)}G_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, 1, y), (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, 1)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, 1)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] d\xi \quad (22)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ {}^{(\Gamma)}G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, 1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, 1)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, 1)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \\ {}^{(\Gamma)}G_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, 1, y), (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, 1)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, 1)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] d\xi. \quad (23)$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ indicates the classical Euler-Beta function.

(3) If we put $y = 0$ in (3), we get the Fox's H -function

$$\Gamma_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, 0), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] = H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right]. \quad (24)$$

Using relation (24), we obtain the subsequent corollaries.

Corollary 3. If $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\rho) > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the following image formula exists for $H_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \mid \begin{matrix} (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] \right\} (u, v, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \\ H_{p+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \mid \begin{matrix} (\xi, 1)_{uv}, (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{1,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right] d\xi. \quad (25)$$

Here, $\mathbb{B}(x, y)$ indicates the classical Euler-Beta function.

(4) If we put $v = 0$ in (1), then the Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform converts into the Srivastava-Luo-Raina \mathbb{M} -transform (see [1]):

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho,m}[f(x)](u, 0, w) = \mathbb{M}_{\rho,m}[f(x)](u, w), \quad (26)$$

here, $\mathbb{M}_{\rho,m}[f(x)](u, w)$ is the Srivastava-Luo-Raina \mathbb{M} -transform, defined in [14]. Using relation (26) in (10) and (12), we obtain the results derived by Bansal et

al. [2, p. 720, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)],

$$\mathbb{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \gamma_{\rho,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] \right\} (u, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \gamma_{\rho+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\xi, 1), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] d\xi \tag{27}$$

and

$$\mathbb{M}_{\rho,m} \left\{ \Gamma_{\rho,q}^{m,n} \left[zx \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] \right\} (u, w) = \frac{w^{-m\rho}}{u m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{B} \left(\rho - \frac{\xi}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m} \right) (uw)^\xi \Gamma_{\rho+1,q}^{m,n+1} \left[z \frac{w}{u} \left| \begin{array}{c} (\mathfrak{g}_1, \nu_1, y), (\xi, 1), (\mathfrak{g}_j, \nu_j)_{2,p} \\ (\mathfrak{h}_j, \omega_j)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] d\xi. \tag{28}$$

Remark. If we take $v = 0$ in (18) and (19), then we get the known results obtained by Bansal et al. [2, p. 720-721, Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4)].

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have derived image formulas for the incomplete H - and \overline{H} -functions under the Akel's \mathcal{M} -transform. Furthermore, from our key findings various special cases can be evaluated by giving suitable values to the involved parameters and variables with applications in engineering and science, some of which are clearly indicated in section 3.

References

- [1] M. Akel, A new generalized integral transform and applications, *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2207.13093, 2022.
- [2] M. K. Bansal, D. Kumar, J. Singh, A. Tassaddiq, and K. S. Nisar, Some new results for the Srivastava–Luo–Raina \mathbb{M} -transform pertaining to the incomplete H -functions, *AIMS Math*, **5**(1), 717-722, 2020.
- [3] M.K. Bansal, S. Lal, D. Kumar, S. Kumar, and J. Singh, Fractional differential equation pertaining to an integral operator involving incomplete H function in the kernel, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 1-8, 2020.
- [4] B. Davis, *Integral Transforms and Their Applications*, 3rd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2002.

- [5] L. Debnath and D. Bhatta, *Integral Transforms and Their Applications*, Third Edition, Chapman and Hall (CRC Press), Taylor and Francis Group, London and New York, 2016.
- [6] N. Jangid, S. Joshi, S.D. Purohit, and D.L. Suthar, Fractional derivatives and expansion formulae of incomplete H and \overline{H} -functions, *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*, **5**(2), 193-202, 2021.
- [7] D. Kumar, M.K. Bansal, K.S. Nisar, and J. Singh, Mathematical modelling of internal blood pressure involving incomplete \overline{H} -functions, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, **22**(7), 1213-1221, 2019.
- [8] A.M. Mathai, R.K. Saxena, and H.J. Haubold, *The H -function theory and applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
- [9] A.M. Mathai, R.K. Saxena, *The H -function with applications in statistics and other disciplines*, Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi; John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.
- [10] S. Meena, S. Bhattar, K. Jangid, and S.D. Purohit, Certain integral transforms concerning the product of family of polynomials and generalized incomplete functions, *Moroccan Journal of Pure and Applied Analysis*, **6**(2), 243-254, 2020.
- [11] S.D. Purohit, A.M. Khan, D.L. Suthar, and S. Dave, The impact on raise of environmental pollution and occurrence in biological populations pertaining to incomplete H -function, *National Academy Science Letters*, **44**(3), 263-266, 2021.
- [12] I.N. Sneddon, *The use of integral transforms*, Tata McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [13] H.M. Srivastava, R.K. Saxena, and R.K. Parmar, Some families of the incomplete H -functions and the incomplete \overline{H} -functions and associated integral transforms and operators of fractional calculus with applications, *Rus. J. Math. Phys.*, **25**, 116-138, 2018.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, M.J. Luo, R.K. Raina, A new integral transform and its applications, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **35**, 1386-1400, 2015.
- [15] D. L. Suthar, B. Debalkie, and M. Andualem, Modified Saigo fractional integral operators involving multivariable H -function and general class of multivariable polynomials, *Advances in Difference Equations*, **1**(2019), 1-11, 2019.