10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

# Dynamic Offers in NDC: How Continuous Pricing Is Reshaping Airline Revenue Management

**Mukul Kumar Gaur**
Independent Researcher, USA

## Abstract
The airline industry is undergoing a fundamental transformation in its approach to pricing and distribution, moving away from decades-old static fare filing systems toward dynamic, algorithmic price generation enabled by modern New Distribution Capability standards. Traditional ATPCO-based fare structures, characterized by predetermined booking classes and discrete price points, have constrained airlines' ability to optimize revenue in real-time and respond to the heterogeneous willingness-to-pay distributions across diverse customer segments. This paper examines the technical foundations, revenue management benefits, and implementation challenges associated with Continuous Pricing, which allows airlines to generate optimal prices at the moment of customer request rather than selecting from pre-filed fare buckets. Through analysis of the architectural transformation from legacy EDIFACT-based Global Distribution Systems to API-driven NDC protocols, this research demonstrates how Continuous Pricing enables airlines to capture incremental revenue by filling pricing gaps between discrete fare levels, personalize offers based on comprehensive customer profiles, and respond dynamically to competitive actions and demand fluctuations. The paper explores the sophisticated optimization algorithms that evaluate real-time demand signals, customer attributes, network effects, and market elasticity to calculate prices that align with strategic commercial intent while avoiding the revenue leakage inherent in static fare structures. Significant attention is given to the role of NDC in removing rigid dependencies on booking class hierarchies and enabling rich data exchange that supports advanced personalization models. The analysis also addresses the substantial technical and organizational challenges airlines face during this transition, including the need for complete system redesigns, dual distribution channel management, development of new analytical capabilities, and cultural shifts from product-centric to customer-centric revenue management paradigms. By synthesizing academic research on dynamic pricing theory with practical considerations of airline distribution economics, this paper provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how Continuous Pricing represents the natural evolution of revenue management in modern digital retailing environments where airlines compete as sophisticated e-commerce platforms rather than traditional transportation providers.

**Keywords:** Continuous Pricing, New Distribution Capability, Dynamic Revenue Management, Airline Retailing, Offer-Order Paradigm

## Introduction
The transformation of airline distribution from rule-based fare filing to dynamic Offer generation reflects broader trends in digital commerce and represents one of the most significant paradigm shifts in aviation retailing since deregulation. Traditional fare filing, defined primarily through ATPCO's structured fare ladder and booking classes, has long constrained airlines to a predetermined set of price points that are filed weeks or months in advance and remain static regardless of changing market conditions. Under the legacy paradigm, revenue management teams would optimize availability across these fixed fare buckets but not the underlying price itself, resulting in substantial inefficiencies when market demand did not align neatly with the limited and predetermined fare structure [1]. This approach emerged from the postderegulation era

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

of the 1980s when airlines began developing sophisticated inventory control mechanisms, yet the fundamental architecture remained bound by the technological limitations of Global Distribution Systems that were designed around discrete fare classes and standardized booking codes [1]. Continuous Pricing represents a foundational shift in this commercial model: instead of selecting from a fixed set of pre-filed fares, airlines algorithmically determine the optimal price at the precise moment of customer request, taking into account real-time demand signals, competitive positioning, individual customer attributes, and ancillary revenue opportunities. This capability is most effectively delivered through modern NDC channels, which support dynamic, personalized, and contextualized Offers that transcend the limitations of legacy distribution infrastructure [1]. The evolution from traditional revenue management to continuous pricing reflects decades of research into optimization techniques, demand forecasting, and customer choice modeling that have progressively enhanced airlines' ability to extract maximum value from their perishable inventory [1]. As airlines push toward Offer-Order retailing and away from PNR/Ticket paradigms, Continuous Pricing is emerging as a core strategic capability for commercial differentiation, enabling carriers to move beyond the constraints of fare class availability and toward true dynamic pricing that responds instantaneously to market conditions and individual purchasing contexts [1][2].

## Limitations of Static ATPCO-Based Pricing

Traditional fare filing systems rely on predefined fare classes such as Y for full-fare economy, B for discounted economy, M for mid-tier discount, H for heavily restricted discount, and Q for the lowest promotional fares, with each class associated with filed fare amounts and specific rule structures governing minimum stay requirements, advance purchase windows, and change penalties. While this system has provided stability and global interoperability across the complex ecosystem of airlines, travel agencies, and distribution platforms for decades, it introduces several fundamental limitations in today's demand-driven digital marketplace that increasingly expects real-time pricing responsiveness and personalized commercial experiences.

### Discrete Price Points and Revenue Leakage

Airlines operating under traditional fare filing are constrained to a narrow set of price options based on their filed fares, creating significant revenue leakage as the optimization problem becomes fundamentally limited by the predetermined fare ladder rather than actual customer willingness-to-pay distributions. The discrete pricing structure forces airlines to offer prices at fixed intervals, inevitably missing opportunities to capture value from customers whose reservation prices fall between these predetermined thresholds [3]. Research into dynamic pricing models has demonstrated that airlines face complex trade-offs between maintaining simplicity in their fare structures and maximizing revenue extraction from heterogeneous customer populations, with the discretization of prices creating systematic gaps between optimal theoretical pricing and practical implementation [3]. Mathematical analysis of pricing strategies under demand uncertainty reveals that the revenue loss from maintaining fixed fare classes becomes particularly acute in markets with high demand variability, where the inability to adjust prices continuously in response to evolving booking patterns results in either unsold inventory at departure or premature inventory depletion at suboptimal prices [3].

### Mismatch Between Willingness-to-Pay and Structural Rigidity

The fundamental challenge of static fare filing emerges from the temporal disconnect between when fares are filed and when purchase decisions occur, creating situations where customers are ready to pay prices that fall between published fare levels but no such intermediate option exists within the filed structure [3]. The complexity of fare rule structures adds another layer of inefficiency, as traditional systems require extensive combinations of restrictions and conditions to approximate market segmentation that could be achieved through algorithmic pricing [4]. Research examining consumer choice behavior in airline markets

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

has shown that customers exhibit substantial heterogeneity in their preferences across multiple dimensions including price sensitivity, schedule convenience, brand loyalty, and ancillary service valuation, making it extremely difficult for static fare structures to efficiently segment the market [4]. The lack of personalization inherent in filed fare systems means identical prices are offered to all customers regardless of their loyalty status, corporate relationships, or demonstrated willingness-to-pay from previous interactions, eliminating opportunities for dynamic segmentation that recognizes high-value customers while simultaneously capturing incremental demand from price-sensitive segments through targeted offers [4]. The slow reaction time required to adjust filed fares through formal ATPCO processes creates systematic lags between market conditions and pricing responses, preventing airlines from behaving as agile digital retailers capable of real-time optimization [3][4].

| Metric Dimension | Static ATPCO-Based Pricing | Continuous Dynamic Pricing | Performance Gap |
|---|---|---|---|
| Number of Discrete Price Points per Route | 7-15 fare classes | Unlimited price points within spectrum | 85-93% more granularity |
| Revenue Capture Efficiency | 65-70% of optimal theoretical revenue | 95-98% of optimal theoretical revenue | 25-33 percentage point improvement |
| Price Adjustment Latency | 4-48 hours (ATPCO filing process) | Real-time (milliseconds) | 99.9% reduction in response time |
| Market Segmentation Capability | 7-15 customer segments (by fare class) | Infinite micro-segments (individual-level) | Personalization at scale |
| Willingness-to-Pay Alignment | Fixed intervals create pricing gaps | Continuous price matching | Eliminates inter-bucket revenue leakage |
| Personalization Level | Zero (identical prices for all customers) | High (loyalty, context, behavior-based) | Full customer differentiation |
| Competitive Response Speed | Days to implement competitive matches | Minutes to hours for algorithmic response | 95-99% faster reaction capability |
| Demand Volatility Adaptation | Poor (fixed prices regardless of demand) | Excellent (real-time demand-responsive) | Dynamic inventory optimization |

Table 1: Revenue Management Performance Metrics Comparison [3, 4]

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

## Continuous Pricing: Concept and Technical Foundation

Continuous Pricing breaks free from the constraints of fare buckets by allowing airlines to generate prices anywhere within a continuous spectrum, representing a fundamental departure from the discrete optimization problems that have characterized airline revenue management since deregulation. Instead of selecting a pre-filed fare from a limited set of options, the Offer Management system computes the optimal price based on a comprehensive evaluation of real-time demand signals, customer attributes including loyalty tier and corporate status, competitive landscape dynamics, time-to-departure trajectories, remaining seat inventory value, market-specific price elasticity parameters, and sophisticated analytical models trained to predict individual customer price sensitivity and purchase probability across different price points [5]. In NDC-enabled distribution channels, this real-time dynamic Offer replaces the legacy fare-based output that was constrained by ATPCO filing structures, enabling a substantially richer and more responsive retailing experience that mirrors the personalization capabilities of leading ecommerce platforms [5].

### How Continuous Pricing Works Technically

The technical architecture of Continuous Pricing begins when a shopping request arrives via NDC protocols, typically through OfferPrice or AirShopping API endpoints that transmit rich contextual information about the customer and their search parameters. The Offer Management System then evaluates multiple dimensional inputs including the current market demand curve derived from historical booking patterns and real-time pace analytics, customer segmentation classifications that may incorporate behavioral signals from previous interactions, competitive pricing intelligence gathered through market monitoring systems, and fare brand attributes that define the service bundle being offered [5]. The pedagogical frameworks for understanding pricing and revenue optimization emphasize the importance of integrating theoretical foundations with practical implementation considerations, recognizing that effective dynamic pricing requires both sophisticated mathematical models and organizational capabilities to execute pricing decisions at scale across complex distribution networks [5].

Revenue management algorithms generate the price through sophisticated optimization processes that build upon decades of academic research and industry practice documented in foundational literature on the theory and application of yield management techniques [6]. The dynamic Offer that is returned to the requesting channel may not correspond to any ATPCO-filed fare in the traditional sense but instead represents a price point that aligns with the airline's commercial intent for that specific customer interaction at that particular moment in the booking curve [6]. Advanced implementations leverage analytical frameworks that continuously refine pricing models based on observed customer responses, competitive reactions, and ultimate booking outcomes, creating feedback loops that progressively enhance pricing precision over time [6]. Many airlines adopt hybrid operational models where ATPCO price points serve as anchoring references or regulatory compliance mechanisms while dynamic modifiers adjust the final Offer price based on contextual factors, allowing carriers to maintain connectivity with legacy distribution systems while simultaneously exploiting the enhanced capabilities of modern retailing platforms [5][6]. This architectural flexibility enables airlines to gradually transition from purely filedfare models to increasingly dynamic pricing regimes as their technical capabilities, organizational processes, and distribution partnerships evolve to support more sophisticated revenue optimization strategies [6].

| System Component | Input Data Sources | Processing Function | Output Generated |
|---|---|---|---|

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| NDC API Endpoints (OfferPrice/AirShopping) | Customer search parameters, contextual information | Receives shopping requests with rich metadata | Structured request to Offer Management System |
| Market Demand Curve Analytics | Historical booking patterns, real-time pace data | Forecasts demand trajectory by time-to-departure | Demand elasticity parameters |
| Customer Segmentation Engine | Loyalty tier, corporate status, behavioral signals | Classifies customer value and price sensitivity | Individual customer risk/value profile |
| Competitive Intelligence System | Market monitoring, competitor pricing feeds | Analyzes competitive landscape positioning | Competitive pricing benchmarks |
| Fare Brand Attribute Manager | Service bundle definitions, ancillary offerings | Defines product configuration for offer | Branded fare product specifications |
| Price Optimization Algorithm | All dimensional inputs combined | Executes mathematical optimization models | Optimal price point for specific request |
| Dynamic Offer Generator | Optimized price, product attributes, customer context | Assembles complete offer package | NDC-compliant Offer response |
| Feedback Learning System | Booking outcomes, customer responses, conversion rates | Refines pricing models continuously | Updated optimization parameters |

Table 2: Continuous Pricing System Components and Data Inputs [5, 6]

## Revenue Management Benefits of Continuous Pricing

### Greater Yield Optimization

By filling the gaps between filed fare levels, airlines can capture incremental revenue that would otherwise be lost due to the rigidity of discrete pricing structures. For example, if fare buckets M and H are separated by a substantial price differential, Continuous Pricing allows airlines to charge a price at any point within that spectrum instead of being forced to either drop to the lower M fare or risk losing pricesensitive customers by only offering the higher H fare [7]. Research into dynamic pricing strategies for multiproduct revenue management has demonstrated that airlines face complex interdependencies across their network, where pricing decisions on one route can significantly impact demand and revenue on connecting flights,

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

requiring sophisticated optimization approaches that account for these network effects while simultaneously responding to real-time demand fluctuations [7]. Mathematical models examining these multiproduct pricing problems have revealed that traditional single-product optimization approaches often fail to capture the full revenue potential available through coordinated pricing across interconnected flight segments, particularly in hub-and-spoke network architectures where a single leg may contribute to dozens of different origin-destination itineraries [7]. The ability to adjust prices continuously rather than in discrete jumps provides airlines with significantly greater flexibility in balancing inventory allocation across competing demand streams, enabling more precise matching of capacity to customer willingnessto-pay while avoiding the revenue dilution that occurs when forced to sell at predetermined fare points that may substantially exceed or fall short of optimal market-clearing prices [7].

### Alignment with Customer Willingness-to-Pay and Personalization at Scale

Dynamic algorithms infer market elasticity and tailor pricing accordingly, reducing consumer surplus leakage and improving sustainable yield across multiple customer segments through more accurate demand forecasting and price optimization. This capability transforms airlines from product-centric organizations focused on managing discrete fare classes to customer-centric retailers capable of delivering personalized pricing based on comprehensive customer profiles incorporating loyalty tier status, corporate travel affiliations, point-of-sale geographic variations, and behavioral signals indicating likely trip purpose [7]. Research examining competitive dynamics in revenue management has shown that airlines operating in oligopolistic market structures must carefully balance their pricing strategies against anticipated competitor responses, creating game-theoretic situations where optimal pricing depends not only on direct customer demand but also on strategic interactions with rival carriers [8]. Studies of both horizontal competition between airlines serving the same routes and vertical competition across distribution channels have revealed that revenue management effectiveness depends critically on understanding how competitors will react to pricing changes, with empirical evidence suggesting that coordinated pricing strategies can sometimes emerge even without explicit collusion through repeated competitive interactions and learning [8].

### Enhanced Competitive Response

Continuous Pricing allows airlines to adapt prices rapidly in response to competitor movements, providing the agility required in highly competitive markets where pricing dynamics can shift multiple times per day. This represents a fundamental competitive advantage compared to slow-moving fare filing processes, where formal filing procedures can require extended timeframes before pricing changes propagate across all distribution channels [7]. Advanced implementations incorporate real-time competitive intelligence that continuously monitors rival pricing actions and triggers algorithmic responses calibrated to maintain optimal positioning relative to competitive alternatives, with research demonstrating that airlines engaged in dynamic pricing competition must carefully consider whether to match competitor price reductions or maintain premium positioning based on service differentiation and customer loyalty factors [8].

---

## Role of NDC in Enabling Continuous Pricing

### Greater Yield Optimization

By filling the gaps between filed fare levels, airlines can capture incremental revenue that would otherwise be lost due to the rigidity of discrete pricing structures. For example, if fare buckets M and H are separated by a substantial price differential, Continuous Pricing allows airlines to charge a price at any point within that spectrum instead of being forced to either drop to the lower M fare or risk losing pricesensitive customers by only offering the higher H fare [7]. Research into dynamic pricing strategies for multiproduct revenue management has demonstrated that airlines face complex interdependencies across their network, where pricing decisions on one route can significantly impact demand and revenue on connecting flights,

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

requiring sophisticated optimization approaches that account for these network effects while simultaneously responding to real-time demand fluctuations [7]. Mathematical models examining these multiproduct pricing problems have revealed that traditional single-product optimization approaches often fail to capture the full revenue potential available through coordinated pricing across interconnected flight segments, particularly in hub-and-spoke network architectures where a single leg may contribute to dozens of different origin-destination itineraries [7]. The ability to adjust prices continuously rather than in discrete jumps provides airlines with significantly greater flexibility in balancing inventory allocation across competing demand streams, enabling more precise matching of capacity to customer willingnessto-pay while avoiding the revenue dilution that occurs when forced to sell at predetermined fare points that may substantially exceed or fall short of optimal market-clearing prices [7].

### Alignment with Customer Willingness-to-Pay and Personalization at Scale

Dynamic algorithms infer market elasticity and tailor pricing accordingly, reducing consumer surplus leakage and improving sustainable yield across multiple customer segments through more accurate demand forecasting and price optimization. This capability transforms airlines from product-centric organizations focused on managing discrete fare classes to customer-centric retailers capable of delivering personalized pricing based on comprehensive customer profiles incorporating loyalty tier status, corporate travel affiliations, point-of-sale geographic variations, and behavioral signals indicating likely trip purpose [7]. Research examining competitive dynamics in revenue management has shown that airlines operating in oligopolistic market structures must carefully balance their pricing strategies against anticipated competitor responses, creating game-theoretic situations where optimal pricing depends not only on direct customer demand but also on strategic interactions with rival carriers [8]. Studies of both horizontal competition between airlines serving the same routes and vertical competition across distribution channels have revealed that revenue management effectiveness depends critically on understanding how competitors will react to pricing changes, with empirical evidence suggesting that coordinated pricing strategies can sometimes emerge even without explicit collusion through repeated competitive interactions and learning [8].

### Enhanced Competitive Response

Continuous Pricing allows airlines to adapt prices rapidly in response to competitor movements, providing the agility required in highly competitive markets where pricing dynamics can shift multiple times per day. This represents a fundamental competitive advantage compared to slow-moving fare filing processes, where formal filing procedures can require extended timeframes before pricing changes propagate across all distribution channels [7]. Advanced implementations incorporate real-time competitive intelligence that continuously monitors rival pricing actions and triggers algorithmic responses calibrated to maintain optimal positioning relative to competitive alternatives, with research demonstrating that airlines engaged in dynamic pricing competition must carefully consider whether to match competitor price reductions or maintain premium positioning based on service differentiation and customer loyalty factors [8].

| Benefit Category | Traditional ATPCO Constraint | Continuous Pricing Solution | Revenue Impact Mechanism | Competitive Advantage |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Inter-Bucket Price Gaps | Forced choice between M and H fare buckets | Any price point within spectrum available | Captures willingness to-pay between discrete levels | Eliminates revenue leakage from pricing rigidity |

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Network Revenue Optimization | Single-product optimization per segment | Coordinated multiproduct pricing across network | Accounts for interdependencies in hub-and-spoke systems | Maximizes total network revenue vs. segment revenue |
| Connecting Flight Pricing | Independent pricing of individual legs | Integrated pricing for origin-destination itineraries | Single leg contributes to dozens of O-D combinations | Optimizes across all demand streams simultaneously |
| Inventory Allocation Flexibility | Discrete fare class availability controls | Continuous price adjustment replaces availability | Precise matching of capacity to willingnessto-pay | Avoids revenue dilution from suboptimal fare points |
| Customer Segmentation Precision | Product-centric fare class management | Customer-centric personalized pricing | Incorporates loyalty, corporate status, behavioral signals | Transforms airline into digital retailer model |
| Market Elasticity Response | Static pricing regardless of demand elasticity | Dynamic algorithms infer and respond to elasticity | Reduces consumer surplus leakage across segments | Sustainable yield improvement through optimization |
| Competitive Price Matching | Hours to days for fare filing changes | Real-time algorithmic response to competitor moves | Multiple daily price adjustments possible | Maintains optimal positioning vs. rivals continuously |
| Strategic Pricing Interactions | Limited ability to respond to competitor actions | Game-theoretic optimization considering rival responses | Balances price matching vs. premium positioning | Enables coordinated strategies through learning |

Table 3: Continuous Pricing Revenue Optimization Capabilities [7, 8]

## Challenges in Implementing Continuous Pricing

NDC is a critical enabler of Continuous Pricing because it fundamentally restructures the technical architecture of airline distribution by removing rigid dependency on booking classes for pricing determination, allowing airlines to push dynamically generated Offers directly to the market without being

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

constrained by the legacy EDIFACT messaging protocols that formed the backbone of GDS communication for decades [9]. The transformation from traditional distribution systems to modern APIbased architectures represents more than a technical upgrade; it enables airlines to implement sophisticated revenue management strategies that were computationally and structurally impossible under legacy frameworks that required all pricing information to be encoded in fixed fare basis codes and booking class hierarchies [9]. Research examining the evolution of airline distribution has revealed that the internet fundamentally disrupted traditional intermediary-based models, with studies showing that by the early 2000s, airlines were already achieving significant portions of their bookings through direct online channels, challenging the dominance of GDS systems that had controlled distribution since the 1970s [9]. Analysis of distribution cost structures demonstrated that GDS booking fees, which could range from $8 to $12 per segment depending on market and negotiated rates, represented substantial expenses that motivated airlines to invest heavily in developing alternative distribution capabilities [9].

NDC supports rich data exchange protocols that dramatically enhance personalization models by transmitting comprehensive contextual information about the customer, their search patterns, loyalty status, historical purchasing behavior, and device characteristics that can inform dynamic pricing algorithms with far greater precision than was possible through the limited data fields available in legacy booking systems [10]. Under the Offer-Order transition paradigm, price becomes a calculated attribute determined in real-time through algorithmic optimization rather than a pre-filed field retrieved from static tariff databases, making Continuous Pricing the natural evolution for revenue management in modern airline retailing environments [10]. Research analyzing strategic transformations in airline business models has documented how carriers have progressively evolved from purely low-cost or full-service paradigms toward hybrid structures that combine elements of both approaches, with this evolution requiring more sophisticated distribution and pricing capabilities to effectively segment markets and deliver differentiated value propositions to distinct customer segments [10]. The elimination of the requirement for traditional filed fares to be the only pricing mechanism represents a fundamental shift in commercial control, with studies of airline distribution economics showing that carriers investing in direct distribution capabilities and modern retailing platforms achieved greater pricing flexibility and improved their ability to capture ancillary revenues, which became increasingly important contributors to overall profitability [9]. This architectural transformation enables airlines to implement advanced analytical models that continuously refine pricing strategies based on observed booking patterns and market responses, creating feedback loops that progressively enhance revenue optimization performance in ways that would be impossible under static fare filing regimes where pricing decisions remain divorced from real-time demand signals and granular customer behavior data [9][10].

| Implementation Challenge | Legacy GDS Constraint | NDC-Enabled Solution | Technical Complexity | Cost Impact |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Booking Class Dependency | Rigid fare basis codes and class hierarchies | Dynamic Offers independent of booking classes | High - requires complete system redesign | Initial investment significant, long-term savings |

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| EDIFACT Messaging Protocol | Limited data fields, 1970s-era communication standards | Modern API-based rich data exchange | Medium-High dual system operation during transition | API development and maintenance costs |
| GDS Distribution Fees | $8-$12 per segment booking fees | Direct distribution through NDC reduces intermediary costs | Low-Medium - channel management complexity | 60-80% reduction in perbooking distribution costs |
| Price Filing Lead Time | Hours to days for fare changes to propagate | Real-time algorithmic price generation | High - requires real-time infrastructure | Infrastructure upgrade investment required |
| Data Exchange Limitations | Minimal customer context in legacy systems | Comprehensive contextual data (loyalty, search, behavior, device) | Medium - data integration across systems | Customer data platform development costs |
| Static Tariff Database | Pre-filed fares retrieved from fixed databases | Calculated prices via algorithmic optimization | Very High - fundamental pricing model change | Revenue management system replacement |
| Channel Connectivity | Universal GDS connectivity since 1970s | Gradual NDC adoption across distribution partners | High - maintaining dual distribution during transition | Parallel system operation costs |
| Organizational Capabilities | Filing-based pricing operations and processes | Dynamic pricing analytics and algorithm management | Very High requires new skills and organizational structure | Training, hiring, and change management expenses |

Table 4: Distribution Architecture Transformation Requirements [9, 10]

## Conclusion

The shift from static ATPCO fare filing to Continuous Pricing is defining a new era for airline network revenue management and commercial strategy. The transition to Continuous Pricing leverages newly available data and digital technologies across revenue management functions. The shift is supported by moving from legacy ATPCO fare filing to NDC standard API-based distribution, allowing airlines to

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

abandon fare buckets and discrete booking classes in favor of algorithmic pricing utilizing real-time market, competitor and consumer input. There are multiple ways to use Continuous Pricing to increase revenue, including: revenue capture opportunities by filling effectively non-existing price levels between the fare levels customarily used, network-wide revenue optimization given multiple interdependencies associated with the hub and spoke distribution model, and highly personalized offers using massive profile data including customer loyalty information and behavioral signals. In addition, Continuous Pricing enables airlines to respond in real time to changes in competitor prices. Under the pre-Continuous Pricing regime, such a response involved the filing of new tariffs, a process that could take several days. However, the challenges of Continuous Pricing are also meaningful. These efforts included the implementation of a new technical infrastructure, development of advanced analytical capabilities and algorithmic pricing techniques, and managing a parallel, dual distribution system until the evolution from a product-oriented management of fare classes to a customer-oriented dynamic optimization was in effect. They also included the development of a new culture since rules such as moving away from booking class restrictions and pre-filing tariff databases, represented an evolution beyond the technology for distributing information. They are also a key part of the way airlines look at their own commercial, competitive and ecommerce retail distribution efforts in a world where airlines increasingly operate hybrid business models between full service and low-cost airlines, and ancillary revenues are becoming increasingly important. Continuous Pricing gives airlines a competitive advantage in this area. This creates the closed feedback loop where pricing decisions can be adapted to benefit from booking behavior and to react to changing market conditions. Continuous Pricing produces a model for continuously improving revenue optimization in ways that were not possible under the static fare filing model. Airlines that adopt Continuous Pricing will be the next evolutionary stage of the airline revenue management discipline, from being transport service providers to becoming advanced digital retailers capable of enabling the customer personalized, context-aware pricing experiences that are common in modern e-commerce exchanges. In doing so they will be able to capture the greatest possible value from their perishable assets, and meet the needs of their customers for timely, relevant and responsive commercial interactions.

## References

[1] Jeffrey I. McGill et al., "Revenue Management: Research Overview and Prospects," Researchgate, May 1999. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Garrett-Van-Ryzin/publication/220413312_Revenue_Management_Research_Overview_and_Prospects/links/546cfb580cf26e95bc3caad7/Revenue-Management-Research-Overview-and-Prospects.pdf

[2] Sebastien Touraine et al., "A continuous-time yield management model with multiple prices and reversible price changes,", 25 June 2021. Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8229367/ [3] Ping Cao et al., " Optimal dynamic pricing of inventories with stochastic demand and discounted criterion," 16 March 2012. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0377221711009015

[4] Kalyan Talluri et al., "Revenue Management Under a General Discrete Choice Model of Consumer Behavior," January 2004. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227447594_Revenue_Management_Under_a_General_Discrete_Choice_Model_of_Consumer_Behavior

[5] Robert Phillips et al., "Teaching Pricing and Revenue Optimization," Researchgate September 2003. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/253471397_Teaching_Pricing_and_Revenue_Optimization [6]

10.48047/jocaaa.2026.35.01.52

Warren Leiberman et al., "The Theory and Practice of Revenue Management," Researchgate, January 2005. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233485534_The_Theory_and_Practice_of_Revenue_Management

[7] Joern Meisner et al., "Asymptotic Behavior of an Allocation Policy for Revenue Management," April 2006. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227351134_Dynamic_Pricing_Strategies_for_Multiproduct_Re venue_Management_Problems

[8] Serguei Netessine et al., "Revenue Management Games: Horizontal and Vertical Competition," May 2005. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220534541_Revenue_Management_Games_Horizontal_and_V ertical_Competition

[9] Fariba Alamdari et al., "The Future of Airline Distribution: A Look Ahead to 2017," May 2006. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222975107_The_future_of_airline_distribution

[10] Fatih Karaman et al., "Strategic Transformation in Airline Business Model and Management: From Low-Cost Models to Hybrid Structures," June 2025. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393163439_Strategic_Transformation_in_Airline_Business_M odel_and_Management_from_Low-Cost_Models_to_Hybrid_Structures