# Some Results on k-vertex Duplication Self Switching

C. Jayasekaran<sup>1</sup>, S. S. Athithiya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Pioneer Kumaraswamy College, Nagercoil - 629003, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup> Research Scholar, Reg. No: 23113132092001, Department of Mathematics, Pioneer Kumaraswamy College, Nagercoil - 629003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Affliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli - 627012, Tamil Nadu, India.

email: jayacpkc@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, ssathithiya@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

By a graph  $G_1 = (V, E)$ , we specify a simple finite graph. Let a graph be  $G_1$  having  $\sigma \neq \phi$  as a subset of *V*. The graph  $G_1^{\sigma}$  is generated from  $G_1$  by deleting all edges connecting  $\sigma$  to  $V - \sigma$  and all non-present edges between two subsets  $\sigma$  and  $V - \sigma$  are added as new edges. In case of  $G_1 \cong G_1^{\sigma}, \sigma$  is stated to be a self switching of  $G_1$ . A self-switching  $\sigma$  of  $G_1$  with  $|\sigma| = k$  is also referred to as *k*-vertex self switching. The collection of all *k*-vertex self switchings of the graph is represented by  $SS_k(G_1)$  and its cardinality by  $ss_k(G_1)$ . Duplication of a vertex v of graph  $G_1$  results in a new graph  $G_1'$  where a vertex v' is added and connected to the same neighbourhood as v. This paper presents essential properties for  $\sigma$  to be a *k*-vertex duplication self switching for a graph  $G_1$  and utilizing these properties, we determine the cardinality  $dss_k(G_1)$  for path  $P_p$  and complete graph  $K_m$ .

Keywords: Switching, self switching, duplication, duplication self vertex switching, path, complete graph.

Subject Classification Number: 05C60.

# **1** Introduction

For an undirected finite graph  $G_1 = (V, E)$ , the degree of vertex v in  $G_1$  is symbolized by  $\deg_{G_1}(v)$  is described as the count of incident edges on v. Seidel defined switching and provided overview of two graphs in [8] that is termed as Seidel switching. And for a simple graph  $G_1 = (V, E)$  that is finite undirected with subset  $\sigma$  of V which is non-empty, the switching of  $G_1$  by  $\sigma$  denoted by  $G_1^{\sigma} = (V, E')$  is constructed from  $G_1$  by deleting all edges connecting  $\sigma$  to  $V - \sigma$  and inserting every non-present edges between  $\sigma$  and  $V - \sigma$  as new

edges. While  $\sigma$  consists of a single vertex v, the switching is specifically refered to as vertex switching denoted by  $G_1^v$ . In [6], vertex switching was initiated by Lint and Seidel. If  $G_1 \cong G_1^\sigma$ , then it is called self vertex switching. C. Jayasekaran introduced self vertex switching [10]. Further results on self vertex switching can be found in [3, 9]. Switching classes are discussed by A. Ehrenfeuct, J. Hage and T. Harju [1]. For details of *k*-vertex self switching, we refer [4]. The duplication self vertex switching was conceptualized by C. Jayasekaran and V. Prabavathy [5]. A vertex v in a graph  $G_1$  is considered as duplication self vertex switching of  $G_1$  if the vertex v is duplicated and the resultant graph contains a self vertex switching on v and  $dss_1(G_1)$  denotes the number of such duplication self vertex switching. We rely on [2,11] for fundamental definitions.

#### 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [9] Let  $G_1(V, E)$  be a undirected finite graph contains  $\sigma \subseteq V$ . The switching of  $G_1$  by  $\sigma$  is explained as the graph  $G_1^{\sigma}(V, E')$  that is generated from  $G_1$  by deleting the existing edges connecting  $\sigma$  to  $V - \sigma$  and inserting the non-edges between  $\sigma$  and  $V - \sigma$  as new edges. Whenever  $\sigma$  contains a single vertex v, the resulting switching  $G_1^{\{v\}}$  is termed as vertex switching and is symbolized by  $G_1^{v}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [10]  $\sigma \subseteq V(G_1)$  is considered as a self switching of  $G_1$  if  $G_1 \cong G_1^{\sigma}$ .  $SS_k(G_1)$  denotes the set of all self switchings of  $G_1$  with cardinality k and  $ss_k(G_1)$  denotes the cardinality of  $SS_k(G_1)$ .

Self switching is termed as self vertex switching when k = 1.

**Definition 2.3.** [5] Duplication of a vertex v of a graph  $G_1$  generates a graph  $G_1'$  by inserting a vertex v' so that Neighbourhood of v' is same as the Neighbourhood of v.  $D(vG_1)$  denotes the graph generated after duplication of v. For example, the graph  $G_1 = P_3$  and the duplication of each vertex of  $P_3$  are given in the figures 2.1 to 2.4.



**Definition 2.4**. [5] A vertex v is termed as duplication self vertex switching of a graph  $G_1$  if the vertex v is duplicated and the graph generated after duplication contains a self vertex switching on v.  $dSS_1(G_1)$  denotes the set of all duplication self vertex switching and  $dss_1(G_1)$  denotes the cardinality of  $dSS_1(G_1)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [10] Let  $G_1(V, E)$  be a graph and let  $\sigma \subset V$  be a self switching of  $G_1$ . Then the number of edges between  $\sigma$  and  $V - \sigma$  in  $G_1$  is  $\frac{k(p-k)}{2}$  where  $k = |\sigma|$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** [7]  $dss(P_p) = \begin{cases} 2 & if \ p = 2,4 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** [7]  $dss(C_n) = \begin{cases} 4 & if \ n = 4 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ .

# **3 Main Results**

**Definition 3.1.** A k-vertex duplication of a graph  $G_1$  generates a new graph  $G_1'$  by inserting new k vertices  $u_1', u_2', ..., u_k'$  as the duplication of any k vertices  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_k$ of  $G_1$  such that  $N(u_i) = N(u_i')$ , where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., k. The graph obtained by duplicating the k vertices  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_k$  is denoted by  $D((u_1, u_2, ..., u_k)G_1)$ . If  $\sigma =$  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_k\} \subseteq V(G_1)$ , then the duplication of  $G_1$  by  $\sigma$  is denoted by  $D(\sigma G_1)$ . **Definition 3.2.** Let  $\sigma \subseteq V(G_1)$  be such that  $|\sigma| = k$ . Then  $\sigma$  is termed as k-vertex

duplication self switching of graph  $G_1$  if  $D(\sigma G_1) \cong D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  where  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is the duplication graph of  $G_1$  by  $\sigma$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  is the switching graph of  $D(\sigma G_1)$  by  $\sigma$ .

The set of all k-vertex duplication self switchings of  $G_1$  is denoted by  $dSS_k(G_1)$  and the  $dss_k(G_1)$  denotes the cardinality of  $dSS_k(G_1)$ .

**Example 3.3.** Refer the graph  $G_1 = P_4$  given in the figure 3.1. Let  $\sigma = \{u_{\gamma}, v_{\gamma}\} \subseteq V(G_1)$ . The 2-vertex duplication  $D(\sigma G_1)$  of the graph  $G_1$  shown in the figure 3.2 and the graph  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  is shown in the figure 3.3 imply that  $D(\sigma G_1) \cong D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$ . Henceforth,  $\sigma$  is a duplication self switching of  $G_1$  on 2 vertices.



Fig. 3.1. *G*<sub>1</sub>

Fig. 3.2.  $D(\sigma G_1)$ 



Fig. 3.3.  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $G_1$  be a (p,q) graph and let  $\sigma \subseteq V(G_1)$  where  $|\sigma| = k$ . Then  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is a  $(p + k, q + \sum_{u \in \sigma} deg_{G_1}(u))$  graph.

**Proof.** Let  $G_1$  be a (p,q) graph. Let  $\sigma = \{u_{a_1}, u_{a_2}, \dots, u_{a_k}\} \subseteq V(G_1)$ . By Definition 3.1,  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is the duplication graph attained by inserting k duplication vertices  $v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', \dots, v_{a_k}'$  to the graph  $G_1$ . Hence,  $|V(D(\sigma G_1))| = p + k$ .  $|E(D(\sigma G_1))| = |E(G_1)|$  + the count of edges added after duplication of the k vertices. By Definition 3.1,  $N(v_{a_i}) = N(v_{a_i}')$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  where i = 1 to k. Thus, the number of edges added after duplication of the *k* vertices  $= \sum_{v_a \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(v_a)$ . Therefore,  $|E(D(\sigma G_1))| = q + \sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u_a)$ . Hence the desired result.

**Result 3.5.** Let  $u \in \sigma \subseteq V(G_1)$  with  $|\sigma| = k$ . Then  $deg_{G_1}(u) = deg_{D(\sigma G_1)}(u')$ .

**Proof.** By Definition 3.1, N(u) = N(u') in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ . This means that the vertices connected to u in  $G_1$  are connected to u' in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ . That is,  $\deg_{G_1}(u) = \deg_{D(\sigma G_1)}(u')$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** If a graph  $G_1$  is with n components, then  $D(\sigma G_1)$  also has n components.

**Proof.** Let  $G_1$  be a graph with *n* components and  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, \dots, v_{a_k}\} \subseteq V(G_1)$ . Assume  $v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', \dots, v_{a_k}'$  is the duplication vertices of  $v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, \dots, v_{a_k}$ , respectively. As  $G_1$  has *n* components,  $v_{a_i}$  's are either in one component or in different components. Suppose  $v_{a_i}$  and  $v_{a_j}$  are in two distinct components of  $G_1$ . Let  $v_{a_i}$  be in the component  $C_1$  and  $v_{a_j}$  be in the component  $C_2$  different from  $C_1$ . The duplication  $v_{a_i}'$  of  $v_{a_i}$  must be adjacent to the vertices of  $N(v_{a_i})$  and so  $v_{a_i}'$  lies in a component which contains  $C_1$ . Similarly, the duplication  $v_{a_j}'$  of  $v_{a_j}$  must be adjacent to the vertices of  $N(v_{a_j})$  and so the duplication vertex  $v_{a_j}'$  lies in the component which contains  $C_2$ . As a result,  $v_{a_i}$  and its duplication vertex  $v_{a_i}'$  are in the same component and so the duplication graph  $D(\sigma G_1)$  of  $G_1$  by  $\sigma$  remains disconnected with *n* components.

### **Theorem 3.7.** For any disconnected graph $G_1$ , $dss_k(G_1) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $G_1$  is disconnected,  $G_1$  has at least two components, say  $C_1, C_2, ..., C_n, n \ge 2$ . Consider a *k*-vertex duplication switching,  $D(\sigma G_1)$  where  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, ..., v_{a_k}\}$ . Assume  $v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', ..., v_{a_k}'$  is the duplication vertices of  $v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, ..., v_{a_k}$ , respectively. By Theorem 3.6,  $D(\sigma G_1)$  has *n* components. In  $D(\sigma G_1), v_{a_1}$  is non-adjacent to  $v_{a_i}'$  and all the vertices of other components. Thus in  $D(\sigma G_1), v_{a_1}$  is not adjacent to minimum one vertex in every component. This means that  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  is connected and so  $D(\sigma G_1) \notin D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  which contradicts our assumption,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, ..., v_{a_k}\}$  is a duplication self switching on *k* vertices of  $G_1$ . As a result,  $dss_k(G_1) = 0$ . **Theorem 3.8.** Let  $G_1$  be a graph and  $\sigma \subseteq V$  be a k-vertex duplication self switching of  $G_1$ . Then the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(D(\sigma G_1)) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is  $\frac{kp}{2}$  where  $k = |\sigma|$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $\sigma$  is a duplication self switching of  $G_1$  on k vertices. Accordingly,  $D(\sigma G_1) \cong D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  is a graph with p + k vertices. Hence by Theorem 2.5, the count of edges linking of  $\sigma$  and  $V(D(\sigma G_1)) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is  $\frac{k(p+k-k)}{2} = kp$ .



**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $G_1$  be a graph with  $\sigma$  as a k-vertex duplication self switching of  $G_1$  and  $\sigma'$  as the set of duplication vertices of  $\sigma$ . Then the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is 2 (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ).

**Proof.** Assume  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, ..., v_{a_k}\} \subseteq V(G_1)$  is a *k*-vertex duplication self switching of  $G_1$  and  $\sigma' = \{v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', ..., v_{a_k}'\}$  where  $v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', ..., v_{a_k}'$  are the duplication vertices of  $v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, ..., v_{a_k}$ , respectively. By the definition of duplication, each edge between the vertices of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$  contributes 2 edges between the vertices of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ . Hence, the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is 2 (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ).

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $G_1$  be a graph and  $\sigma$  be a k-vertex duplication self switching of  $G_1$ . Then the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is  $\frac{kp}{2} - 2$  (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ).

**Proof.** Assume  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, \dots, v_{a_k}\} \subseteq V(G_1)$  is a duplication self switching of  $G_1$  on k vertices and  $\sigma' = \{v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', \dots, v_{a_k}'\}$  in which  $v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', \dots, v_{a_k}'$  are the duplication vertices of  $v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, \dots, v_{a_k}$ , respectively. Obviously,  $V(D(\sigma G_1)) = V(G_1) \cup \sigma' = (V(G_1) - \sigma) \cup \sigma \cup \sigma'$  implies that  $V(D(\sigma G_1)) - \sigma = \sigma' \cup (V(G_1) - \sigma)$ . Hence, the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(D(\sigma G_1)) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) + the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ . That is, the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = the$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  an

 $V(D(\sigma G_1)) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ . By Theorem 3.8, the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(D(\sigma G_1)) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = \frac{kp}{2}$ . By Theorem 3.9, the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = 2$  (the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ). Hence, the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  is  $\frac{kp}{2} - 2$  (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ).

**Observation 3.11.** Refer graph  $G_1$  illustrated in figure 3.4. The graph  $G_1^{\sigma}$  is illustrated in figure 3.5. Undoubtedly,  $G_1^{\sigma}$  is the union of two induced subgraphs of  $G_1^{\sigma}$  namely  $G_1^{\sigma}[\sigma]$  and  $G_1^{\sigma}[V-\sigma]$  together with the edges joining  $\sigma$  and  $V-\sigma$  in  $G_1^{\sigma}$ .



Fig 3.4. *G*<sub>1</sub>



Fig 3.5

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $G_1$  be a graph and  $\sigma \subseteq V(G_1)$  where  $|\sigma| = k$ . If  $\sigma$  is a k-vertex duplication self switching of the graph  $G_1$ , then  $\sum_{u \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u) = \frac{kp}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, \dots, v_{a_k}\} \subseteq V(G_1)$  be a *k*-vertex duplication self switching of the graph  $G_1$ . Accordingly,  $D(\sigma G_1) \cong D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  and thereby  $|E(D(\sigma G_1))| = |E(D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma})|$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $|E(D(\sigma G_1))| = q + \sum_{u \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u)$ .

Let  $\sigma' = \{v_{a_1}', v'_{a_2}, \dots, v'_{a_k}\}$  in which  $v_{a_1}', v_{a_2}', \dots, v_{a_k}'$  are the duplication vertices of  $v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}, \dots, v_{a_k}$ , respectively.

Now,  $|E(D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma})| =$  the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  + the count of edges linking the points of  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  + the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  + the count of edges linking  $\sigma'$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  + the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  + the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$ in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$ .

Obviously, the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ,  $D(\sigma G_1)$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  are equal. Also, the count of edges linking the points of  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1$ ,  $D(\sigma G_1)$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  are equal and the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  is 0.

Since  $N(u_i) = N(u_i')$  where  $1 \le i \le k$ , the count of edges linking  $\sigma'$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  = the count of edges linking  $\sigma'$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  = the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1$ .

The count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  is obviously equal to the count of nonedges between the points of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  = all possible edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ -the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = k^2 - 2$  (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ )(by Theorem 3.9).

It is clear that the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  = the count of nonedges between the points of  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$  = all possible edges linking  $\sigma$ and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1)$ -the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $D(\sigma G_1) = k(p - k) - \left[\frac{kp}{2} - 2$  (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ )] (by Theorem 3.10).

C. Jayasekaran et al 1105-1118

Thus, (2) indicates that  $|E(D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma})| =$  the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$  + the count of edges linking the points of  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + 0$  + the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + k^2$ -2(count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ) +  $k(p - k) - \frac{kp}{2} - 2$  (the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ) = the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1 + k(p - k) - \frac{kp}{2} - 2$  (the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1 - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + k$  count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1 - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + k$  count of edges linking the points of  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + k$  count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + k^2 - 2$  (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ) = the count of edges linking  $\sigma$  and  $V(G_1) - \sigma$  in  $G_1 + k^2 - 2$  (count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ) = the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ) = the count of edges linking the points of  $\sigma$  in  $G_1$ ) = the count of edges in  $G_1$  (by Observation 3.11)  $+kp - \frac{kp}{2} = q + \frac{kp}{2}$ . Since  $|E(D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma})| = |E(D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma})|$ , from (1) and (3) we get,  $q + \sum_{u \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u) = q + \frac{kp}{2}$  which implies that  $\sum_{u \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u) = \frac{kp}{2}$ . Hence the desired result.

**Remark 3.13.** The above theorem does not hold for its converse. For example, refer the graph  $G_1 = C_4$  with 4 vertices given in the figure 3.6 and let  $\sigma = \{z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}, z_{\gamma}\}$ . Then  $\deg_{G_1}(z_{\alpha}) + \deg_{G_1}(z_{\beta}) + \deg_{G_1}(z_{\gamma}) = 6 = \frac{3 \times 4}{2} = \frac{kp}{2}$ . The graphs  $D(\sigma G_1)$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  are given in figure 3.7 and figure 3.8 respectively shows that  $D(\sigma G_1) \subsetneq D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$ . Thus, the converse of the above theorem does not hold.



Fig. 3.6.  $G_1 = C_4$  Fig. 3.7.  $D((z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}, z_{\gamma})G_1)$  Fig. 3.8.  $D((z_{\alpha}, z_{\beta}, z_{\gamma})G_1)^{\sigma}$ 

C. Jayasekaran et al 1105-1118

#### Corollary 3.14. A graph of odd order has no odd order duplication self switching.

**Proof.** Let a graph be  $G_1$  with order p. Suppose  $\sigma \subseteq V(G_1)$  is a duplication self switching of  $G_1$  where  $|\sigma| = k$  is odd. By Theorem 3.12,  $\sum_{u \in \sigma} deg_{G_1}(u) = \frac{kp}{2}$ . Since  $\frac{kp}{2}$  is an integer and p is odd, k must be even which contradicts k is odd. Hence the desired result.

**Theorem 3.15.** *For p* > 1,

$$dss_k(P_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ k = 2 \ and \ p = 2 \ or \ 4 \\ 2 & if \ k = 1 \ and \ p = 2 \ or \ 4; \ k = 2 \ and \ p = 3.. \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $v_{a_1}v_{a_2} \dots v_{a_p}$  be the path  $P_p$  with two end vertices with degree 1 and the rest with degree 2. Let  $\sigma \subseteq V$  and  $|\sigma| = k$ . Then clearly,  $2k - 2 \leq \sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u_a) \leq 2k$ .

To prove the required results, we look at the following two cases,  $p \le 4$  and p > 4

### Case 1. $p \le 4$

Obviously,  $\frac{kp}{2} \le \frac{4k}{2} = 2k$  and  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u_a) \le 2k$ . This implies that  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_{G_1}(u_a)$  may be equal to  $\frac{kp}{2}$  in certain situations. Now consider the following three subcases: p = 2,3, and 4.

#### Subcase 1.a. p = 2

By Theorem 2.6,  $dss_1(P_2) = 2$ . When  $k = 2, \frac{kp}{2} = 2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \deg_{G_1}(v_{a_i})$ . By Theorem 3.12,  $\sigma$  may be a 2-vertex duplication self switching. Refer the graph  $P_2$  given in figure

3.9. Clearly,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}\}$ . Let  $v_{a_1}$  ' and  $v_{a_2}$  ' be the corresponding duplications of  $v_{a_1}$ and  $v_{a_2}$ . The graphs  $D(\sigma G_1)$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  are given in figure 3.9. Clearly,  $D(\sigma G_1) \cong D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$ . Henceforth,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}\}$  is a duplication self switching of  $P_2$  on 2 vertices and so  $dss_2(P_2) = 1$ .



Fig. 3.9

# **Subcase 1.b**. *p* = 3

By Corollary 3.14,  $dss_1(P_3) = dss_3(P_3) = 0$ . When  $k = 2, \frac{kp}{2} = 3$ . Refer the graph  $P_3$  given in figure 3.10. Now,  $\sigma$  can be either  $\{v_{a_1}, v_{a_3}\}$  for which  $\deg_{P_3}(v_{a_1}) + \deg_{P_3}(v_{a_3}) = 2$  or  $\{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}\}$  for which  $\deg_{P_3}(v_{a_1}) + \deg_{P_3}(v_{a_2}) = 3$ . By Theorem 3.12,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}\}$  might be a duplication self switching of  $P_3$  on 2 vertices. Take  $v_{a_1}$  ' and  $v_{a_2}$  ' as the duplications of  $v_{a_1}$  and  $v_{a_2}$ , respectively.



Fig. 3.10

Figure 3.10 indicates that  $D(\sigma P_3) \cong D(\sigma P_3)^{\sigma}$ . Henceforth,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_1}, v_{a_2}\}$  is a duplication self switching of  $P_3$  on 2 vertices. Similarly,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_2}, v_{a_3}\}$  is also a duplication self switching of  $P_3$  on 2 vertices. As there are two such possible pairs,  $dss_2(P_3) = 2$ .

### Subcase 1.c. p = 4

By Theorem 2.6,  $dss_1(P_4) = 2$ . When  $k = 2, \frac{kp}{2} = 4$ . Let  $\sigma = \{v_{a_i}, v_{a_j}\} \subseteq V(P_4)$ . Then  $\deg_{P_4}(v_{a_i}) + \deg_{P_4}(v_{a_j}) = 2$  or 3 or 4. By Theorem 3.12,  $\sigma$  might be a 2 -vertex duplication self switching only when  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_{P_4}(u_a) = 4$ . Refer the graph  $P_4$  given in figure 3.11. The only possibility for  $\sigma$  is  $\{v_{a_2}, v_{a_3}\}$  for which  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_{P_4}(u_a) = 4$ . Let  $v_{a_2}$  ' and  $v_{a_3}$  ' be the duplications of  $v_{a_2}$  and  $v_{a_3}$ , respectively. The graphs  $D(\sigma G_1)$  and  $D(\sigma G_1)^{\sigma}$  are given in figure 3.11.



From figure 3.11, we see that  $D(\sigma P_4) \cong D(\sigma P_4)^{\sigma}$ . Henceforth,  $\sigma = \{v_{a_2}, v_{a_3}\}$  is a duplication self switching of  $P_4$  on k vertices. As there is only one such pair,  $dss_2(P_4) = 1$ .

For k = 3,  $\frac{kp}{2} = 6$  and there does not exist a set  $\sigma$  where  $|\sigma| = k = 3$  for which  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} deg_{P_4}(u_a) = 6$  and hence  $dss_3(P_4) = 0$ . Also, when k = 4,  $\frac{kp}{2} = 8$  and there does not exist a set  $\sigma$  where  $|\sigma| = 4$  for which  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} deg_{P_4}(u_a) = 8$ . Hence,  $dss_4(P_4) = 0$ 

## **Case 2.** *p* > 4

Let  $\sigma \subseteq V(G)$  be such that  $|\sigma| = k$ . Now,  $\sum_{u_a \in \sigma} \deg_G(u_a) \le 2k < \frac{kp}{2}$ . By Theorem 3.12,  $\sigma$  can't be a *k*-vertex duplication self switching of  $P_p$ .

Based on the foregoing discussions, we conclude that

$$dss_k(P_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 2 \text{ and } p = 2 \text{ or } 4\\ 2 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } p = 2 \text{ or } 4; k = 2 \text{ and } p = 3.\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.16.** For  $m \ge 3$ ,  $dss_k(K_m) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $K_m$  is a complete graph having m vertices. Let  $\sigma \subseteq V(K_m)$  be such that  $|\sigma| = k$ . Then  $\sum_{u \in \sigma} \deg_{K_m}(u) = k(m-1) \neq \frac{km}{2}$ . By Theorem 3.12,  $dss_k(K_m) = 0$ .

# **Applications**

*k*-vertex duplication self-switching in graph theory is mainly used in areas such as graph isomorphism testing, detecting graph automorphisms, and analyzing the structural properties of graphs.

# Conclusion

In this paper, we found the conditions for  $\sigma$  to be a *k*-vertex duplication self switching for a graph  $G_1$  and using this, we determined the cardinality  $dss_k(G_1)$  for path  $P_p$  and complete graph  $K_m$ .

### References

[1] Ehrenfeucht. A, Hage. J and Harju. T, *Pancyclicity of switching classes*, Information Processing Letters, 73(5-6), 2000, 153-156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-0190(00)</u> 00020-X

[2] Harary. F, Graph Theory, Addition Wesley(1972).

[3] Jayasekaran. C, Self Vertex Switching of Trees, Ars Combinatoria, 127, 2016, 33-43.

[4] Jayasekaran. C and Athithiya. S. S, *Self-switching of union of two complete graphs*, Gulf Journal of Mathematics, 16(2),2024, 196-203. <u>https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v16i2</u>.
1880.

[5] Jayasekaran. C and Prabavathy. V, *A characterisation of duplication self vertex switching in graphs*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 118(2), 2008, 149-156.

[6] Lint. J. H and Seidel. J. J, *Equilateral point sets in elliptic geometry*, In proc. kon. Nede.
Acad. Watensch., Ser. A, 69(3), 1966, 335-348. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-189420-7.50008-6</u>

[7] Prabavathy. V, *A study on duplication self vertex switching in graphs*, Ph.D. Thesis, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India, 2017.

[8] Seidel. J. J, *A Survey of two graphs*, Proceedings of the Intenational Coll Theorie Combinatorie (Rome 1973), Tomo I, az. Lincei, 1976, 481-511. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-189420-7.50018-9

[9] Selvam Avadayppan and Bhuvaneshwari. M, *More results on self vertex switching*, International journal of Modern sciences and Engineering Technology, 1(3), 2014, 10-17.

[10] Vilfred Kamalappan. V and Jayasekaran. C, *Interchange similar self vertex switchings in graphs*, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 12(4), 2009, 467-480. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2009.10698248</u>

[11] West. D. B, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi(2001).