A Strategy for Employing Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Techniques to Make Efficient Business Decisions

Priyanka Kanwar, Dr. Samarendra Mohan Ghosh

(Research Schoolar)
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur (C.G)
Guide
Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur (C.G)

Abstract

Decision-makers typically struggle to find a balance between competing objectives in today's complex business environment. Multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) techniques provide a rigorous and dependable framework for managing this complexity by enabling the evaluation of many, often conflicting criteria. This research offers a comprehensive strategy for enhancing the efficacy of business decision-making through the use of MCDM techniques. The strategy incorporates the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), the Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS), and other significant MCDM approaches. This paper illustrates the benefits of MCDM in decision process optimization and achieving long-term business results.

1. Introduction

Businesses today work in a dynamic, constantly evolving environment. Decisions in circumstances such as these are often influenced by several elements, including risk, time, money, quality, and risk, all of which are critical to the company's success. Conventional decision-making models that rely on single-criterion techniques often fail to capture the complexity of real-world business situations. In such cases, multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) techniques are helpful.

MCDM approaches are analytical and mathematical tools designed to assess and prioritize options based on predetermined standards. They provide a systematic approach to balancing possibilities, improving transparency and increasing the likelihood of arriving at a well-rounded decision. The main objective of this research article is to develop and provide an MCDM methodology plan so that businesses can make better-informed and sensible decisions while considering the several aspects impacting the company's performance.

2. Literature Of Review

Numerous studies have shown that MCDM strategies are effective in a variety of areas, including manufacturing, healthcare, and finance. Several frequently used MCDM methods are as follows:

- **Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)**: A methodical approach that divides difficult choices into a hierarchy of smaller issues so that each can be examined separately [1].
- **Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS)**: This strategy takes into account both positive and negative factors and ranks alternatives according to how far away from an ideal answer they are [2][3].

- **Multi-Attribute Utility Theory (MAUT)**: A framework for making decisions that assesses the performance of options according to many criteria by using utility functions.

  Prior studies have demonstrated that these methods assist decision-makers in quantifying the trade-offs between various criteria, hence promoting improved choice results. Still, a lot of people. Companies find it difficult to successfully incorporate MCDM approaches, either because of a lack of knowledge or because of how difficult it is to apply them to actual issues [4][5].

3. Methodology

This paper proposes a **three-phase strategy** for implementing MCDM techniques in business decision-making processes:

Phase 1: Problem Definition and Criteria Identification

Define the Decision Problem: Clearly identify the business challenge or decision area by using the "Define the Decision Problem" method. Make that the objectives and scope are in line with the company strategy.

- **Establish Decision Criteria:** Work with important parties to ascertain the factors influencing the choice. These could include operational effectiveness, customer happiness, risk factors, and financial measures. Each requirement needs to be quantifiable and align with the company's goals.
- **Classify and Order Criteria:** Utilize stakeholder feedback to order criteria according to significance. One way to apply weightings is through the use of an approach called Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), which ranks criteria according to their relative importance through pairwise comparisons.

Phase 2: Selecting and Applying MCDM Techniques

- **Select the appropriate MCDM Method:** The appropriate MCDM technique for the study should be chosen based on the nature of the problem and the type of data available. AHP, for instance, is a good fit for problems involving hierarchical decision-making, but TOPSIS is more effective when assessing options using quantitative data.
-
- **Apply the MCDM Technique**:

  i. **AHP**: Divide the choice problem into a hierarchy that includes the following elements: goal, criterion, sub-criteria, and options. To ascertain the relative importance of criteria and rate the alternatives, use pairwise comparisons and employ matrix calculations [6].
  ii. **TOPSIS**: Determine which are the negative ideal solution (worst values) and the positive ideal solution (best values for each criterion). Sort the options according to the near ideal solutions [3].
  iii. **Other Techniques**: Depending on the complexity of the problem, methods like **MAUT** or **ELECTRE** (Elimination and Choice Expressing Reality) can also be employed.

iv.   **Sensitivity Analysis:** Use a sensitivity analysis to evaluate how robust the findings are. This makes it easier to comprehend how changes to the scoring or weighting of the criteria impact the choice that is made in the end.

Phase 3: Decision Implementation and Monitoring

i.   **Make the Decision:** Choose the best option based on the MCDM analysis's findings. Decision-makers should receive the results in an easily comprehensible format that reflects the trade-offs made between the criteria.
ii.   **Put the Decision into Action:** Once a choice has been made, follow through on it while ensuring that all parties are satisfied with the outcome.
iii.   **Monitor and Adjust:** After the choice has been put into action, keep an eye on its results at all times. If new information or shifting market conditions arise, modify the strategy or decision criteria as needed.

4. Example of a Case Study: Selection of Suppliers

Examine the scenario where a business chooses a new supplier to see the strategy in action. Cost, quality, delivery time, and sustainability are among the criteria used in the decision-making process. The organization first establishes a decision hierarchy using AHP, weighting each criterion according to its strategic significance. Once prospective suppliers' data has been gathered, TOPSIS is used to rank them in order of proximity to the optimal solution, which strikes a balance between quality, cost, and delivery performance. To ensure the validity of the rankings, the organization performs a sensitivity study and modifies the weightings based on delivery time and quality. They select the supplier who provides the greatest balance of dependability and affordability based on this.

5. Discussion

The suggested approach places a strong emphasis on the necessity of using MCDM approaches in an organized and methodical manner. Businesses may make sure that all pertinent considerations are taken into account and that the final decision is firmly backed by quantitative analysis by segmenting the decision-making process into discrete phases. Moreover, decision-makers may more easily defend their decisions and explain trade-offs because to the transparency and clarity provided by MCDM methodologies.
There are certain restrictions, though. Accurate data collection, stakeholder participation, and the availability of qualified staff experienced with these methods are necessary for the successful implementation of MCDM. Furthermore, some techniques' intricacy can make it harder for smaller, less resource-rich businesses to use them.

6. Conclusion

In the complex, multifaceted contexts of today's business world, Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) procedures are essential instruments for efficient decision-making. The organized approach to using MCDM approaches presented in this work places a focus on problem characterization, proper technique selection, and on-going results monitoring. Businesses can improve the quality of their judgments, lessen bias, and better match their decisions with strategic objectives by utilizing MCDM. It is recommended that future research concentrate on developing decision support systems that make the implementation

of MCDM techniques simpler and investigate hybrid models that integrate MCDM with artificial intelligence to improve decision-making processes.

References: -

[1] Duleba, S., Çelikbilek, Y., Moslem, S., & Esztergár-Kiss, D. (2022). Application of grey analytic hierarchy process to estimate mode choice alternatives: A case study from Budapest. Transportation research interdisciplinary perspectives, 13, 100560.

[2] Zulqarnain, R. M., Saeed, M., Ahmad, N., Dayan, F., & Ahmad, B. (2020). Application of TOPSIS method for decision making. Int. J. Sci. Res. in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, 7(2).

[3] Pacheco, A. G., & Krohling, R. A. (2018). Ranking of classification algorithms in terms of mean–standard deviation using A-TOPSIS. Annals of Data Science, 5, 93-110.

[4] Scholz, M., Franz, M., & Hinz, O. (2017). Effects of decision space information on MAUT-based systems that support purchase decision processes. Decision Support Systems, 97, 43-57.

[5] Adalı, E. A., & Işık, A. T. (2017). CRITIC and MAUT methods for the contract manufacturer selection problem. European Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 2(5), 88-96.

[6] Canco, I., Kruja, D., & Iancu, T. (2021). AHP, a reliable method for quality decision making: A case study in business. Sustainability, 13(24), 13932.