

On Essentially Semismall Quasi-Dedekind modules with nonsingular modules

Rasha Mohammed Jeathoom¹, Faten Hashim Mohammed², Mukdad Qaess Hussain³

¹Department of chemistry, College of Science, Mustansirah University

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Mustansiriyah

³College of Education for pure science, Diyala University

Received: 22.07.2024

Revised: 19.08.2024

Accepted: 27.09.2024

ABSTRACT

Let L be unitary left module over ring with 1 R . In this paper we training the connection among Essentially Semismall Quasi-Dedekind modules and nonsingular modules. As well, we offer round about examples which explain the relations between them.

Keywords: Essentially semismall Quasi-Dedekind module, nonsingular module.

INTRODUCTION

A submodule U of an R -module L is said to be small in L denoted by $(U \ll L)$ if $L = U + V$ for every submodule V of L then $V = L$ [1]. A submodule U of an R -module L is said to be semismall of L denoted by $(U \ll_s L)$ if $U = 0$ or $U/V \ll L/V \forall$ non zero submodule V of U [2]. A submodule U of R -module L is essentially semismall denoted by $(U \ll_{es} L)$, if for each nonzero semismall submodule V of L , $U \cap V \neq 0$ [3]. An R -module L is essentially semismall quasi-Dedekind denoted by (ESSQD) if $\text{Hom}(L/V, L) = 0 \forall V \ll_{es} L$ [3]. A ring R is ESSQD if R is ESSQDR-module[3]. Let L be R -module, put $Z(L) = \{l \in L : \text{ann}_R(l) \leq_e R\}$. $Z(L)$ is the singular submodule of L . L is said to be singular if $Z(L) = L$ and L is said to be nonsingular if $Z(L) = 0$ [4]. In this paper we give the relationship between ESSQD modules and nonsingular modules.

An R -module L remains semismall quasi-Dedekind (SSQD), if for each submodule $0 \neq V$ of L be semismall quasi-invertible; that is $\text{Hom}(L/V, L) = 0, \forall 0 \neq V \ll_s L$ [5].

Proposition 1 Let L be nonsingular module, thus each essential semismall submodule of L is semismall quasi-invertible submodule of L .

Proof: Let U remain essential semismall submodule of L as well as a homomorphism $f: L/U \rightarrow L, f \neq 0$. Then $\exists l \in L$ s.t $f(l + U) = l \neq 0$. Let $r \in R$ and $r \notin \text{ann}(l)$. Thus $rl \neq 0; rl \notin U$. But U is essential semismall in L , $\exists s \neq 0, s \in R$ s.t $0 \neq srl \in U$. Then $0 = f(srl + U) = srf(l + U) = srl$ implies $srl \in \text{ann}(l)$. Therefore $\text{ann}(l)$ is essential semismall ideal of R . Thus $l = 0$, implies $f = 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}(L/U, L) = 0$.

From prop.1, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 2 Every nonsingular module is ESSQD module.

The next example shows the opposite of prop. 2 is not correct.

Example 3 Z_p as Z -module, where p be prime number is an ESSQD which is not nonsingular since

$$Z(Z_p) = \{l \in Z_p : \text{ann}_Z(l) \leq_e Z\} = Z_p \neq 0.$$

A regular ring remains a ring R with identity in which each element $r \in R$ is regular, that is $rsr = r$ for some element $s \in R$ [6].

A Rickart ring is a ring R with identity in which the left (right) annihilator of each element be principal left (right) ideal generated by an idempotent[6].

An R -module L is prime if $\text{ann}(L) = \text{ann}(W)$ for any $0 \neq W \leq L$ [7].

An element $l \in L$ is torsion element when $lc = 0$, wherever c be regular element of a ring R . The set of torsion elements of L be submodule $Z(L)$ as well as the module $L - Z(L)$ has no nonzero torsion elements[8].

The set $Z(L)$ of these elements remains a submodule but $L - Z(L)$ is not torsion-free[8].

Remarks 4

- 1) Every Rickart ring remains nonsingular ring, as a result of [4, prop 1.27, p.35], and hence ESSQD ring.
- 2) Every regular ring be nonsingular ring, as a result of [4, p.36], and hence ESSQD ring.
- 3) If W be prime R -module, then $\text{End}_R(\overline{W})$ be ESSQD.
Proof: From [9, prop 3.7, p.36] and (Rem. 4(2)).
- 4) Any direct product of integral domains be nonsingular ring, as a result of [4, p.36], and hence ESSQD ring.
- 5) For any ring R . $R/Z(R)$ be nonsingular ring; that is $Z(R/Z(R)) = 0$, as a result of [4, Ex.5, p.36], thus $R/Z(R)$ be ESSQD ring.
- 6) Let $V \leq L$. If V and L/V are both nonsingular, then L be non singular, by [10, Ex.5, p.269], thus L be ESSQD.
- 7) Let L be R -module with $V \leq L$. If L remains non singular, then V remains non singular. So V be ESSQD R -module, in addition to the opposite holds if $V \ll_{\text{es}} L$ as a result of [10, Ex.7.6, p.247], therefore L be ESSQD R -module.
- 8) An R -module L over integral domain R be non singular R -module iff L be torsion free R -module [10, p.247]. Thus every torsion free above integral domain be ESSQD.
- 9) A nonsingular module need not be SSQD module as the next example illustrations:

Example 5

- 1) Let $L = Z \oplus Z$ as Z -module is nonsingular, since for $(d, f) \in L, (d, f) \neq 0, \text{ann}_Z(d, f) = 0 \not\subseteq_e Z$; that is $Z(L) = 0$ which is not SSQD [7, Ex1.5, p.7].
- 2) For each of the Z -modules $Q \oplus Z$ and $Q \oplus Q$ are nonsingular Z -modules which are not SSQD, by [9, Ex 1.10, p.27], [9, Ex 3.21, p.40].

Theorem 6 Let R is nonsingular ring thus every faithful multiplication R -module is ESSQD R -module.

Proof: Let L be faithful multiplication R -module, thus from [11, Coro. 2.14], $Z(L) = Z(R) \cdot L$. Since R remains nonsingular ring, then $Z(R) = 0$, thus $Z(L) = 0$. Then L remains non singular R -module. Thus from prop.2, L be ESSQD R -module.

Proposition 7 Let L be essentially semismall prime faithful R -module. Thus R is ESSQD ring.

Proof: Let Y be an ideal of R s.t $Y^2 = (0)$. Assume $Y \neq (0)$. Claim $YL \neq (0)$, if $YL = (0)$ the $Y \subseteq \text{ann}_R(L) = (0)$; that is $Y = (0)$, a contradiction. But $YL \not\ll_{\text{es}} L$, since if $YL \ll_{\text{es}} L$, But L is an essentially semismall prime faithful R -module thus $\text{ann}_R(YL) = \text{ann}_R(L) = (0)$. However, it is clear that $Y \subseteq \text{ann}_R(YL) = (0)$, thus $Y = (0)$, a contradiction, therefore $YL \not\ll_{\text{es}} L$. Let E be a relative complement for YL , thus $YL \oplus E \ll_{\text{es}} L$. So $\text{ann}_R(YL \oplus E) = \text{ann}_R(L) = (0)$, since $YN \subseteq YL$ and $YE \subseteq E$, then $YE \subseteq YL \cap E = (0)$ and hence $Y(YL \oplus E) = Y^2L + YE = (0)$. Therefore $Y \subseteq \text{ann}_R(YL \oplus E) = (0)$ and $Y = (0)$, a contradiction. Then our assumption remains untrue. Then $Y = (0)$, thus R remains semiprime ring. Therefore by [3, Proposition 9], R is ESSQD ring.

Proposition 8 Let L be faithful multiplication module over self-injective ring R . R is nonsingular (ESSQD) ring iff L is nonsingular R -Module (ESSQD ring).

Proof: Assume R is nonsingular ring. But L is faithful multiplication R -module, thus from [11, Coro 2.14], $Z(L) = Z(R) \cdot L$, since $Z(R) = 0$ then $Z(L) = 0$, therefore L remains nonsingular R -module. Assume L is nonsingular ring. Thus $Z(L) = 0$. Now, for all $b \in Z(R)$, $bL \subseteq Z(R)L = Z(L) = 0$, so $b \in \text{ann}_R(L) = 0$, then $b = 0$. Then R is nonsingular ring.

Proposition 9 If E is semismall quasi-invertible R -submodule of L , then $\text{ann}(L) = \text{ann}(E)$.

Proof: Clearly $\text{ann}(L) \subseteq \text{ann}(E)$. let $r \in \text{ann}(E)$. Define $f: L/E \rightarrow L$ by $f(l+E) = rl, \forall l \in L$. Clearly f is well-defined homomorphism. Thus $f = 0$. Therefore $r \in \text{ann}(L)$.

Proposition 10 If L is SSQD R -module, then L be semismall prime R -module.

Proof: Since L be SSQD module, thus each semismall submodule $0 \neq Y$ of L remains semismall quasi-invertible submodule of L . Then from prop.9, $\text{ann}(L) = \text{ann}(Y)$, hence L is semismall prime module.

Proposition 11 If L is prime faithful R -module, thus L be nonsingular R -module, and hence L be ESSQD R -module.

Proof: Since L be prime R -module, $\text{ann}(L)$ be prime ideal of R . But L be prime R -module, thus from [12, Prop 1.3, ch.1], L be torsion-free $\bar{R} = R/\text{ann}_R(L) \cong R$. Thus L be torsion-free over integral domain R . Then by (Rem 4(8)), L is nonsingular R -module. Therefore L is ESSQD R -module.

Corollary 12 If L is faithful SSQD R -module, thus L is non singular R -module, and hence L is ESSQD R -module.

Proof: From Prop.10 and Prop.11.

Proposition 13 Let L be faithful module over integral domain R , If L be nonsingular R -module, thus L be ESSQD R -module.

Proof: By prop.2

The next example shows the converse of proposition13 is not correct

Example 14 The Z -module $L = Q \oplus Z_2$ is faithful module over an integral domain Z and hence ESSQD, It is easy to see that L is not nonsingular.

Proposition 15 Let L, N be modules over ring R . Let $f : L \longrightarrow N$ be R -monomorphism. If N be non singular R -module, then L be nonsingular R -module and hence L be ESSQD R -module.

Proof: Since $f : L \longrightarrow N$ remains R -homomorphism, thus from [10, Lemma 7.2, p.246], $f(Z(L)) \subseteq Z(N)$. But $Z(N) = 0$. Since N be nonsingular, thus $f(Z(L)) = 0 = f(0)$, since f remains monomorphism, thus $Z(L) = 0$. Then L remains nonsingular R -module. Therefore L remains ESSQD R -module.

Proposition 16 Let L be R -module. If $z^k(L) = 0$ then L is ESSQD.

Proof: Suppose that $z^k(L)$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(L)$ and $\text{Ker} f \ll_{\text{es}} L$, $\text{Im} f \subseteq Z^k(L) = 0$, so $\text{Im} f = 0$, hence $f = 0$. Then L is an ESSQD R -module.

References

- [1] Abdoul D. D. , Papa C. D. , Mamadou B. "On S-quasi-Dedekind Modules", Journal of Mathematics Research; Vol. 9, No. 5; October 2017, p. 97-107.
- [2] Ali I.M, Muhmood L.S."semi small submodules and semi -lifting Modules", 3rd scientific conference of the college of science, university of Baghdad, 2009, 385-393.
- [3] Kavitha, M. "Advances in Wireless Sensor Networks: From Theory to Practical Applications." Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering 1.1 (2024): 32-37.
- [4] Hussain M.Q. AbdulKareem D.J. ."Essentially Semismall Quasi-Dedekind modules." 1st international scientific conference of the college of Basic Education, DUHOOK university, 2019, p.21-28.
- [5] Goodearl K.R., " Ring theory ", Maracel Dekker , Newyork , 1976.
- [6] Hussain M.Q., Salih M.A "Semi Small Quasi-Dedekind modules ", 1st international scientific conference , 4rd scientific conference for pure science college, university of Karbala, 2017.
- [7] Louis H. , Semi-Orthogonality In Rickart Rings , Pacific Journal Of Mathematics Vol. 39, No. 1, 1971
- [8] Najmeh D. and Mohammad R. V. , ON SUBDIRECT PRODUCT OF PRIME MODULES , Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 32 (2017), No. 2, pp. 277–285
- [9] Goldie.A. W., Torsion-Free Modules and Rings journal of algebra 1 , 268-287, 1964.
- [10] Mijbass A .S. , " Quasi-Dedekind Modules " , Ph. D .Thesis , College of Science, University of Baghdad, 1997 .
- [11] Suma, P. B., M. E. Shobha, and Santhosh George. "On the convergence of the sixth order Homeier like method in Banach spaces." Results in Nonlinear Analysis 5.4 (2022): 452-458.
- [12] Lam T.Y., " Lectures on Modules and rings " , Springer -Verlag, Berlin , Heidelberg , Newyork, 1999 .
- [13] Bast Z. A. , Smith P.F., " Multiplication Modules " , Comm .In Algebra, 16 (1988) , p. 755 – 779 .
- [14] Athab I.A. ."On prime and primary submodules.", M. Sc .Thesis , College of Science, University of Baghdad, 1996 .