On nonempty intersection properties in metric spaces

A. Gupta^{1∗} and S. Mukherjee¹

¹Department of Mathematics, NIT Meghalaya, Shillong-793003, Meghalaya, India

Abstract

The classical Cantor's intersection theorem states that in a complete metric space X , intersection of every decreasing sequence of nonempty closed bounded subsets, with diameter approaches zero, has exactly one point. In this article, we deal with decreasing sequences $\{K_n\}$ of nonempty closed bounded subsets of a metric space X , for which the Hausdorff distance $H(K_n, K_{n+1})$ tends to 0, as well as for which the excess of K_n over $X \setminus K_n$ tends to 0. We achieve nonempty intersection properties in metric spaces. The obtained results also provide partial generalizations of Cantor's theorem. 1. CONFUTATIONAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, COPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC SPIECES

4. Gupta³⁴ and S. Multingries¹

4. Gupta³⁴ and S. Multingries¹

4. Gupta³⁴ and S. Multingries¹

111 D

Keywords Metric space · Atsuji space · Hausdorff metric · Nested sequence · Cantor's intersection theorem

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 54E50 · 54A20

1 Introduction

In metric spaces, there are some marvellous nonempty intersection theorems. Cantor's theorem (see [14]) asserts that in a complete metric space X , the intersection of every decreasing sequence ${K_n}$ of nonempty closed subsets of X with diameter $\delta(K_n) \to 0$ has exactly one point. This intersection theorem is widely used in the fields related to mathematical analysis. Kuratowski provided a generalization of Cantor's theorem using Kuratowski measure of non-compactness, α:

 $\alpha(A) = \inf \{\varepsilon > 0 : \exists X_i, i = 1, \cdots, n, X_i \subset X, \delta(X_i) < \varepsilon, A = \cup_i X_i\},\$

where A is a subset of a complete metric space X . Kuratowski's theorem (see [6, 15]) states that for each decreasing sequence $\{K_n\}$ of nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space X with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha(K_n) \to 0$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ is nonempty

[∗]Corresponding author. E-mail address: ajitkumar.gupta@nitm.ac.in

and compact. Later, this theorem is further generalized by Horvath [3] using the same measure of compactness α . In [9], Mitrovic et al. have studied the generalization of Horvath's results; and they applied this generalization for best approximation in [10]. Recently, Souza and Alves [15] extended both Cantor's theorem and Kuratowski's theorem from metric spaces to admissible spaces.

An Atsuji space, which is more general than compact spaces, has the property that each continuous function on it is uniformly continuous. A metric space X is said to be an Atsuji space if the set of limit points X' is compact in X and for each $\epsilon > 0$ the complement of the set $N_{\epsilon}(X') := \bigcup$ $x \in X'$ $B(x, \epsilon)$, in X, is uniformly discrete, where $B(x, \epsilon)$ denotes the open ball centered at x and with radius ϵ . For a metric space, the property of being an Atsuji space lies in between the compactness and the completeness. A detailed study on Atsuji 1. CONFUTATIONAL ANNEYSIS AND APPR-CATORS, VOL. 31, NO, 1, 2023, OCPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC

and correlations of Europe is further and the specified the procedured by Toreach is the state associated as the same ass

This article presents various results on nonempty intersection of decreasing sequence of nonempty closed bounded subsets in metric spaces and in Atsuji spaces using Hausdorff distance $H(A, B)$ and the functional \tilde{d} , defined as $\tilde{d}(A)$ = $\sup d(x, X \setminus A)$, where A, B are subsets of a metric space X. These obtained x∈A

results are also compared with the Cantor's intersection theorem.

The article is organized as follows. Some preliminary results, needed for the rest part of the article, are discussed in Section 2. In Section 3 and Section 4, we consider decreasing sequence ${K_n}$ of nonempty closed bounded subsets of a metric space X and discuss their nonempty intersection results in the cases for which the Hausdorff distance $H_n := H(K_n, K_{n+1}) \to 0$, and $\hat{d}(K_n) :=$ $\sup d(x, X \setminus K_n) \to 0$, respectively. $x∈K_n$

2 Preliminaries

spaces can be found in [4].

Given a metric space (X, d) , we denote the set of all nonempty bounded subsets of X and the set of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of X by $B(X)$ and $C_b(X)$, respectively. Further given $A \subset X$, A', A° , $N_{\epsilon}(A)$ and ∂A denote the set of all limit points, interior points, ϵ -neighborhood and boundary of A, respectively. The diameter of A is given by $\delta(A) = \sup d(x, y)$. $x,y\in A$

Definition 2.1. [2] The *Hausdorff distance*, H , of two nonempty subsets A , B of a metric space (X, d) is defined as

$$
H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A) \right\},\,
$$

where $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$.

It is well-known that the distance function H is a metric, provided A, B are closed and bounded.

Definition 2.2. [7] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric space (X, d) is said to be absolutely convergent sequence if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ is finite.

Lemma 2.3. [7] In a metric space X, every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ contains an absolutely convergent subsequence.

Theorem 2.4. [5] A metric space X is an Atsuji space if and only if each sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} I(x_n) = 0$, has a limit point in X, where $I(x) =$ $d(x, X \setminus \{x\}), x \in X.$

Definition 2.5. [8] A subset S of a metric space X is said to be metrically convex if for any distinct points $x, y \in S$, there is a point $z \in S \setminus \{x, y\}$ that satisfies $d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

Theorem 2.6. [8] Consider a complete and metrically convex metric space X. Then, for any distinct points $x, y \in X$, there is a metric segment with the end points x, y .

3 Nonempty intersection results using Hausdorff distance

By a decreasing sequence $\{K_n\}$ of subsets of a metric space X, we mean $K_{n+1} \subset$ K_n , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we denote $H(K_n, K_{n+1})$ by H_n . Clearly $H_n \leq \delta(K_n)$. The following theorem furnishes a partial generalization of Cantor's intersection theorem, which we will discuss in Subsection 3.1. It is well known that, in a metric space X, if for each decreasing sequence $\{F_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $\delta(F_n) \to 0$, n∞ $\bigcap_{n=1} F_n \neq \emptyset$, then X is complete.

Theorem 3.1. A metric space X is complete if and only if for every decreasing sequence $\{K_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ converges, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let X be a complete metric space. For $a_1 \in K_1$, $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a_2 \in K_2$ such that $d(a_1, a_2) \leq H(K_1, K_2) + \epsilon$. Again, for $a_2 \in K_2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ as above, there exists $a_3 \in K_3$ such that $d(a_2, a_3) \leq H(K_2, K_3) + \epsilon^2$. Proceeding this way, we get $d(a_r, a_{r+1}) \leq H(K_r, K_{r+1}) + \epsilon^r$, $r \geq 1$. This implies, $\{a_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $a_i \to a \in X$. Then, $a \in \bigcap^{\infty}$ $\bigcap_{i=1} K_n$.

For the converse, consider a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $\delta(F_n) \to$ 0. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $x_n \in F_n$ is a Cauchy sequence. So, by Lemma 2.3, it has an absolutely convergent subsequence, say, $\{x_{p_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Let K_i be the closure of the set $\{x_{p_i}, x_{p_{i+1}}, x_{p_{i+2}}, ...\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, ...$ Observing $H_i \leq d(x_{p_i}, x_{p_{i+1}})$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i < \infty$. Then, by the hypothesis, $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i \neq \emptyset$. And hence, \bigcap $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} F_n \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof. 1. CONFUTATIONAL ANNEWSEG AND APPR-DATORS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, OGPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC A. Gupta 119 J. Comparison of 2. $\frac{1}{2}$, of $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$,

Following examples validate the statement of the above theorem.

Example 3.2. Let $K_n = \left[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ converges, and ⋂ $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n = \{0\}.$

Example 3.3. Consider the sequence space $X = (l^p, ||\cdot||_p)$, for some p with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and choose $K_n = \{e_i\}_{i \geq n}$, where $e_i = (\delta_{ij})_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Then $\{K_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence in $C_b(X)$. It can be easily checked that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ doesn't converge and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \mathbb{Q}$ with standard metric. Then X is not complete. Let r be a fixed irrational. Define $K_n = \{x \in X : r - 1/n \le x \le r + 1/n\}$. Then $K_n \in C_b(X)$ and decreasing. Although $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ converges, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$.

3.1 Comparison with Cantor's theorem

It is worth noting that Examples 3.2-3.4 also validate the result of Cantor's intersection theorem. Therefore it is fairly natural to ask: What advantage Theorem 3.1 provides over the Cantor's theorem? The answer lies in the fact that $H_n \leq \delta(K_n)$.

In Cantor's intersection theorem, $\delta(K_n) \to 0$ is the sufficient condition to have nonempty intersection. But in the case when $\delta(K_n) \nrightarrow 0$, Cantor's theorem does not provide a conclusion whether $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ is empty or nonempty. In such case, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ converges, then Theorem 3.1 ensures $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ is nonempty. For instance, 1 CONFUTATIONAL ANNEWSEG AND APPLICATIONS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, OCPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC

12. Comparing A.S. Consider the experience space $X = \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$, H_0 , comparing a red

13. $F_0 = \{0\}$. Example A.S. C

Example 3.5. Let $K_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the region (including boundaries) bounded by the curves $4n(y-1/n) = -x^2$, and $4n(y+1/n) = x^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\delta(K_n) \nrightarrow 0$, and so Cantor's theorem becomes inconclusive here. However, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ is convergent, and \bigcap $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ is the set $\{(x, 0) : -2 \le x \le 2\}.$

3.2 Nonempty intersection in Atsuji space

In Theorem 3.1, we see that the condition " $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n < \infty$ ", is sufficient to have $\bigcap K_n \neq \emptyset$, in complete metric spaces. A more general condition, namely $n\in\mathbb{N}$ $H_n \to 0$ ", is not sufficient to have the nonempty intersection. For instance,

Example 3.6. The functions e_i , defined as $e_i(t) = t^i, t \in [0,1], i \in \mathbb{N}$, are in the normed space $X = (C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$, and the sets $K_n := \{e_i\}_{i=n}^{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are closed bounded subsets of X. Here, $H_n = ||e_n - e_{n+1}||_{\infty} \to 0$, but $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$.

However, in Atsuji spaces, which are also complete, " $H_n \to 0$ " is sufficient to have the nonempty intersection.

Theorem 3.7. If X is an Atsuji space, then for each decreasing sequence $\{K_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $H_n \to 0$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Given a decreasing sequence $\{K_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ such that $H_n \to 0$. For the sequence $\{K_n\}$, there exists $a_n \in K_n$ (as in the proof of Theorem 3.1) such that for any fixed $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and for all $n \ge 1$ we have, $d(a_n, a_{n+1}) \le H_n + \epsilon^n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. If $a_n = c$, for infinitely many values of n, then $c \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$. Otherwise, if the terms of the sequence ${a_n}$ are distinct, except for at most finitely many n, then this implies $I(a_n) \to 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, $\{a_n\}$ has a limit point lying in $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$.

The converse of Theorem 3.7, in general, is not true. That means if " $H_n \rightarrow$ 0" suffices to have the nonempty intersection property, the space is not necessarily an Atsuji space. This is evident from the following example.

Example 3.8. Consider $X = \mathbb{N} \cup M$ with the standard Euclidean metric on R, where $M = \{n + 1/2m : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$. Let $\{K_i\} \subset C_b(X)$ be a decreasing sequence with $H_i \rightarrow 0$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, there exists $a_i \in K_i$ such that $|a_i - a_{i+1}| \to 0$. It can be shown that either $\{a_i\}$ is eventually constant, say $p \in X$, or $\{a_i\}$ converges to some positive integer k. In either $\cos \overset{\infty}{\cap}$ $\bigcap_{i=1} K_i \neq \emptyset$, as it contains either p or k. Thus we get, for each decreasing sequence $\{K_i\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $H_i \to 0$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \neq \emptyset$, but the space X is not an Atsuji space because $X' = N$. 1 CONFUTATIONAL ANNEWSES AND APPR-CATIONS, VOL. 31, NO, 1, 2023, OCPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC

Theorem 13.7. If Y is an Altrait in Application is a considered properties of K_n) = $G_n(x)$ with H_n and H_n is a cons

We notice that Theorem 3.7 provides a generalization of Cantor's intersection theorem in Atsuji spaces.

4 Nonempty intersection results using \hat{d}

Jain and Kundu, in [4], considered a functional $I: X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as, $I(x) =$ $d(x, X \setminus \{x\})$. Here we consider a more general functional, d, acting on the subsets of a metric space X, defined by $\hat{d}(A) = \sup d(x, X \setminus A), A \subset X$. This x∈A is also known as the excess of A over $X \setminus A$. This functional gives the radius of the inscribed ball inside a regular body in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 . For subsets A, B of a metric space X, we conclude the following as well:

- 1. $\hat{d}(A) \leq \hat{d}(B)$, if $A \subset B$, and $A \in B(X)$,
- 2. $\hat{d}(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$ or $A \subset [X \setminus A]'$,
- 3. For an unbounded set $A, \hat{d}(A)$ can be finite or infinite. For example, in the euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 , consider $A_1 = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and $A_2 = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ $x, y \geq 0$, then $\hat{d}(A_1) = 0$, and $\hat{d}(A_2) = \infty$,

4. If $A = X$, then $\hat{d}(A)$ is finite or infinite, depending on X is bounded or unbounded, respectively. (We take $d(x, \emptyset) = \sup\{d(x, A) : A \subset X\}$, $x \in$ X.)

Proposition 4.1. Let $A, B \in B(X)$. Then

- (a) $H(X \setminus A, X \setminus B) \leq \max{\{\hat{d}(A), \hat{d}(B)\}}$.
- (b) max $\{\hat{d}(A), \hat{d}(B)\}\leq H(A, B)$, provided $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Proof. (a) For $A, B \in B(X)$, we have

3. COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS. VO, 31, NO, 1, 2023, COPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LC
\n4. If *A* = *X*, then *d*(*A*) is finite or infinite, depending on *X* is bounded or
\nunbounded, respectively. (We take *d*(*x*, *θ*) = sup{*d*(*x*, *A*) : *A* ⊂ *X*}, *x* ∈ *X*.)
\n**Proposition 4.1.** Let *A*, *B* ∈ *B*(*X*). Then
\n(a) *H*(*X* \setminus *A*, *X* \setminus *D*) ≤ max{*d*(*A*, *d*(*B*)}).
\n(b) max{*d*(*A*, *d*(*B*)} ≤ *H*(*A*, *B*), provided *A* ∩ *B* = *θ*.
\n*Proof.* (a) For *A*, *B* ∈ *B*(*X*), we have
\n
$$
H(X \setminus A, X \setminus B)
$$
\n
$$
= max{*d*(*A*, *A* ∨ *B*)
\n
$$
= max{*d*(*A*, *X* ∤ *B*)
\n
$$
= max{*d*(*A*, *X* ∩ *B*)
\n= max{*d*(*A*, *X* ∩ *B*)
\n
$$
= max{*d*(*A*, *X* ∩ *A*)
\n= max{*d*(<
$$
$$
$$
$$

(b) Suppose $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then

$$
H(A, B) = \max \{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(A, y) \}
$$

\n
$$
\geq \max \{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, X \setminus A), \sup_{y \in B} d(X \setminus B, y) \}
$$

\n
$$
= \max \{ \hat{d}(A), \hat{d}(B) \}.
$$

Remark 4.2. For two subsets A, B with $A \subset B$ in a metric space X, in general, $d(B)$ and $H(A, B)$ are not comparable, even in Atsuji spaces.

Theorem 4.3. Let X be an Atsuji space. Then, for each decreasing sequence $\{K_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $\hat{d}(K_n) \to 0$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Consider $x_n \in K_n$. Then, $I(x_n) = d(x_n, X \setminus \{x_n\}) \leq d(x_n, X \setminus K_n) \leq$ sup $d(x, X \setminus K_n) = \hat{d}(K_n)$, which by the hypothesis tends to 0. Hence, using $x\!\in\!K_n$

Theorem 2.4, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a limit point lying in $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_n$.

The converse of Theorem 4.3, in general, does not hold.

Example 4.4. Consider the set X as in Example 3.8, with the standard Euclidean metric d on R. Let ${K_i} \subset C_b(X)$ be a decreasing sequence with $d(K_i) \to 0$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, there exists $x_i \in K_i \setminus K_{i+1}$ such that $I(x_i) \to 0$. If the range set, $R = \{x_i\}_{i\geq 1}$, is infinite and $R \subset M$,

consider $x_i = n_i + 1/2m_i$. If $\{m_i\}_{i \geq 1} = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_q\}$, a finite set, then $d(n_i+1/2p_j, X\setminus\{n_i+1/2p_j\}) = d(n_i+1/2p_j, n_i+1/2(p_j+1)) = \frac{1}{2}d(1/p_j, 1/(p_j+1))$ 1)) $\geq \inf_{1 \leq j \leq q}$ $\frac{1}{2}d(1/p_j, 1/(p_j+1)) > 0$, which is a contradiction to $I(x_i) \to 0$. Hence, there is a subsequence $\{m_{t_i}\}\$ of $\{m_i\}$ with $m_{t_i} \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. And so, it can be proved that in the case either $R \subset M$ or $R \not\subset M$; the sequence $\{x_i\}$ has a subsequence converging to some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $p \in \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ $\bigcap_{i=1} K_i$. Thus we get, for each decreasing sequence $\{K_i\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $\hat{d}(K_i) \to 0$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \neq \emptyset$. Although, the space X is not an Atsuji space. 1 CONSULTATIONAL ANNALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, COPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC

SOMETHE, $x_1 = -y_1 + 1/2w_0$, $W_1 = 0$, $y_2 = 1/2y_0 + 1/2$

Theorem 4.5. If X is a metric space, and for each decreasing sequence $\{K_n\} \subset$ $C_b(X)$ with $\hat{d}(K_n) \to 0$, \bigcap^{∞} $\bigcap_{n=1} K_n \neq \emptyset$, then X is complete.

Proof. Consider a decreasing sequence $\{F_n\} \subset C_b(X)$ with $\delta(F_n) \to 0$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \in F_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Let K_n be the closure of the set $\{x_i\}_{i\geq n}$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{n \ge N+1} d(x_N, x_n) < \epsilon$, that is, $\sup_{x \in K_{N+1}} d(x_N, x) < \epsilon$, which further implies $\hat{d}(K_{N+1}) = \sup_{x \in K_{N+1}} d(x, X \setminus K_{N+1}) < \epsilon$. Thus $\hat{d}(K_n) \to 0$. So, by the hypothesis $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset$ and this completes the proof.

4.1 Comparison with Cantor's theorem

We observe that, in general, \tilde{d} and δ are not comparable. For instance,

Example 4.6. Consider a set $X = \{x, y\}$, $x \neq y$, equipped with a metric d. Let $A = \{x\}$. Then, $\hat{d}(A) = d(x, y) > 0$, and $\delta(A) = 0$.

But if $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, with standard Euclidean metric, and $A = B[0, r]$, then $\hat{d}(A) = r < 2r = \delta(A).$

We shall show that in metrically convex metric spaces, \hat{d} is always dominated by δ .

Lemma 4.7. Let (X,d) be a metric space. Then, for all $x, y \in X$ and $r \in$ $[0, d(x, y)], B[x, r] \cap B[y, d(x, y) - r] = S[x, r] \cap S[y, d(x, y) - r],$ where $S[x, r] :=$ ${z \in X : d(x, z) = r}.$

Proof. Let us denote $B[x,r] \cap B[y,d(x,y) - r]$ and $S[x,r] \cap S[y,d(x,y) - r]$ by B^{\cap} and S^{\cap} , respectively. If B^{\cap} is empty, there is nothing to prove. Let $B[∩] \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in B[∩]$. We claim $z \notin B_1 \cup B_2$, where $B_1 = B[x, r] \setminus S[x, r]$, $B_2 = B[y, d(x, y) - r] \setminus S[y, d(x, y) - r]$. If possible, let $z \in B_1$. Then $d(x, y) \leq$ $d(x, z) + d(z, y) < r + d(x, y) - r = d(x, y)$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, we prove $z \notin B_2$. Thus, $z \in B \cap [B_1 \cup B_2]^c = B \cap [B_1^c \cap B_2^c]$, where B^c

denotes the complement of a set B in X. This implies $z \in S^{\cap}$, and so $B^{\cap} \subset S^{\cap}$. Hence, $B^{\cap} = S^{\cap}$.

Theorem 4.8. Let A be a nonempty bounded proper subset in a complete metrically convex space X. Then $d(A) \leq \delta(A)$.

Proof. Let (X, d) be an metrically convex space. By Theorem 2.6, X is a connected metric space too. We shall achieve the conclusion in the following steps.

Step 1 : First we show that for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $N_{\epsilon}(A) \setminus A$ is not empty. Step 2 : We show that for each $\epsilon > 0$ we have $B(a, r + \epsilon) = N_{\epsilon}(B)$, where $B = B(a, r)$.

Step 3 : Finally we prove that $\hat{d}(A) \leq \delta(A)$.

Step 1. On the contrary, let us assume $N_{\epsilon}(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$. This implies, A is open and for all $x \in A$, $B(x, \epsilon) \subset A$. Since A is open, $\partial A \not\subset A$. This implies, " $\exists b \in \partial A$ such that $b \notin B(x, \epsilon)$ for all $x \in A$ ", which is a contradictory statement in itself.

Step 2. Observe that, $N_{\epsilon}(B) \subset B(a, r+\epsilon)$ follows from the triangle inequality. Conversely, suppose $y \in B(a, r + \epsilon)$. If $y \in B$ then $y \in B(y, \epsilon) \subset N_{\epsilon}(B)$. Suppose $y \in B(a, r + \epsilon) \setminus B$. Then, consider the ball $B(y, \epsilon)$. If $B(y, \epsilon) \cap B = \emptyset$, then $B(y, \epsilon) \cap \partial B = \emptyset$. Therefore, $d(q, y) \geq \epsilon$, for all $q \in \partial B$. By Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 4.7, since $r \in [0, d(a, y)]$, there is a $u \in X$ such that $\psi(r) = u \in$ $B[a, r] \cap B[y, d(a, y)-r] = S[a, r] \cap S[y, d(a, y)-r] = \partial B \cap S[y, d(a, y)-r]$, where ψ is an isometry from $[0, d(a, y)]$ to X. This implies $d(a, y) = d(a, u) + d(u, y) \ge$ $r + \epsilon$, a contradiction. Hence, $B(y, \epsilon) \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and therefore $y \in B(z, \epsilon)$ for some $z \in B$. Thus, $B(a, r + \epsilon) \subset N_{\epsilon}(B)$. 1 CONFUTATIONAL ANNEWSEG AND APPLICATIONS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, COPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC A. Guess, L

Step 3. Since $\partial B \neq \emptyset$, $\inf_{p \in X \setminus B} d(a, p) = d(a, q) = r$, for some $q \in \partial B$.

Now, let x be an element in A. If $x \in A^{\circ}$, then consider a ball $B(x, r')$, where $r' = \sup\{r > 0 : B(x,r) \subset A\}$. There must be a point in $N_{\epsilon}(B(x,r'))$ which lies in $N_{\epsilon}(A) \setminus A$. Hence, $[N_{\epsilon}(B(x, r')) \setminus B(x, r')] \cap [N_{\epsilon}(A) \setminus A] \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $d(x, X \setminus A) = r' \leq \delta(A)$. On the other hand, if $x \in \partial A$, then $d(x, X \setminus A) = 0 \leq \delta(A)$. Hence, $\hat{d}(A) \leq \delta(A)$.

Since the metric spaces with Takahashi's convex strustures ([12]) and the normed spaces are metrically convex metric spaces, so Theorem 4.8 is applicable to these spaces too. Due to Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.3 induces a generalization of Cantor's intersection theorem in metrically convex Atsuji spaces.

Example 4.9. Consider the metric space $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -3 \le x, y \le 3\},\$ with standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . The space X is a metrically convex Atsuji space. Let $K_n \subset X$ be the region (including boundaries) bounded by the curves $n(1+\frac{1}{n})^2(y-1/n) = -x^2$, and $n(1+\frac{1}{n})^2(y+1/n) = x^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\delta(K_n) \nrightarrow 0$, and so Cantor's theorem becomes indecisive. However, $\hat{d}(K_n) \rightarrow 0$, and \bigcap $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ is the set $\{(x, 0) : -1 \le x \le 1\}.$

5 Conclusion

For a pair of consecutive elements K_n , K_{n+1} from a decreasing sequence $\{K_n\}$ of nonempty closed bounded subsets of a metric space, it is observed that H_n is less than or equal to the diameter of K_n , where H_n is the Hausdorff distance between K_n and K_{n+1} . Therefore, $H_n \to 0$ is the necessary condition for Cantor's intersection theorem. However, the condition $H_n \to 0$ in not sufficient to have nonempty $\bigcap K_n$ in complete metric spaces; extra conditions on H_n is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ required for that. We have shown that the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n < \infty$, is sufficient to have nonempty intersection in complete metric spaces; while, $H_n \to 0$ is sufficient to have nonempty intersection in Atsuji spaces. Further, in Atsuji spaces, we have provided sufficient condition for nonempty $\bigcap K_n$ using the 1 CONFUTATIONAL ANNEWSIS AND APPLICATIONS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, COPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC

125 J. Come List Content and Alternative Content and APPLICATIONS AND APPLICATIONS AND APPLICATIONS (A) λ is the main o

n∈N

concept of excess of a set.

Nonempty intersection theorems, and the generalizations of such theorems like Cantor's theorem, Kuratowski's theorem, Horvath's theorem, etc. play an important role to study the best approximations, fixed point results, etc. (for example, see [3, 9, 10, 13]). In case of set-valued mappings, researchers have been studying the fixed point results for mappings from a metric space to the subspaces of the hyperspace of nonempty closed subsets endowed with the Hausdorff distance (for example, see [1, 11]). Findings of this manuscript, can further be applied in these directions.

References

- [1] N. A. Assad and W. A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, Pacific J. Math., 43, 553–562 (1972).
- [2] J. Henrikson, Completeness and total boundedness of the Hausdorff metric, MIT Undergrad. J. Math., 1, 69-80 (1999).
- [3] C. Horvath, Measure of non-compactness and multivalued mappings in complete metric topological vector spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 108, 403– 408 (1985).
- [4] T. Jain, S. Kundu, Atsuji completions: Equivalent characterisations, Topology Appl., 154, 28–38 (2007).
- [5] S. Kundu and T. Jain, Atsuji spaces: Equivalent conditions, Topology Proc., 30, 301–325 (2006).
- [6] K. Kuratowski, Sur les espaces complets, Fund. Math., 15, pp. 301–309 (1930).
- [7] H. M. MacNeille, Extensions of measure, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 24, pp. 188–193 (1938).
- [8] A. Martinon, Distance to the intersection of two sets, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 70, pp. 329–341 (2004).
- [9] Z. D. Mitrovic and I. D. Arandjelovic, Existence of generalised best approximations, Nonlinear Convex Anal., 15, pp. 787–792 (2014).
- [10] Z. D. Mitrovic and I. D. Arandjelovic, Generalized scalar equilibrium problem with applications to best and coupled best approximations, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 19, pp. 1613–1624 (2017). 1. COMPUTATIONAL ANNALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, VOL. 31, NO.1, 2023, COPYRIGHT 2023 EUDOXUS PRESS, LLC
 β A. Martinson, Distributions, Vol. 31, NO.1, 2023, S.
 β Z. D. H. 2023-204411 (2004).
 β Z. D. Martinson, Nord
	- [11] S. B. Nadler Jr., Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math., 30, pp. 475–488 (1969).
	- [12] W. Phuengrattana and S. Suantai, Existence and convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in uniformly convex metric spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 45, pp. 121–136 (2014).
	- [13] T. Shimizu and W.Takahashi, Fixed points of multivalued mappings in certain convex metric spaces, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 8, pp. 197– 203 (1996).
	- [14] G. F. Simmons, Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
	- [15] J. A. Souza and R. W. M. Alves, CantorKuratowski theorem in admissible spaces, Topology Appl., 252, pp. 158–168 (2019).